Cases 1: 121-20-001939 RM 0-96 47 47 LW 100 Clilleth 12 dd / 17 He d 106 72 67 12 Page 39 61 285

House Floor Debate - Volume 1

30:732:20				
34:19 35:12 37:22,25 43:8 52:10 54:4,5, 6,8,20 55:1, 2,14,19,20 74:25 78:8 97:12 section-by- section 17:15,24 Sections 6:4 15:19 19:21 secure 38:20 securing 89:9,10 security 56:11 79:2 see 8:20 17:11 18:2 23:5 25:8 29:12 59:5 69:13 86:24 seeing 98:3 seek 59:7 selecting 61:20 Senate 9:8 18:16,19 23:15 27:8 32:20 35:16 74:12 76:5	75:16 seniors 74:17 76:19 sent 78:7 80:19 sentence 3:24 93:20 separate 34:1 35:15 series 94:9,15 serve 87:3 served 39:20 101:11 service 49:24 Services 74:25 75:1,11 79:4 session 7:13,23 18:9,10 21:21 sessions 6:16 18:4 set 64:15 70:15 81:15 83:3 84:8 setting 11:12 81:2 shall 3:12,13,25 9:25 10:8,16,19 11:9,12,19 15:10 20:1 44:10,11,17	72:9 Shorthand 101:5 show 2:12 9:18 10:13,25 12:1,22 13:9, 10,11,22 14:20 15:15 26:14 29:3 38:14 40:6 41:11 42:20, 22,23,25 45:2,3 47:1, 12 51:25 52:12 56:7, 11,14 57:5 58:17 64:22 68:24 69:22 76:21 77:4,5, 6 80:9,10 86:21 89:24, 25 90:8 99:14,21,22 showed 56:4 showing 12:19,24 30:15 31:23 72:17 96:23 98:16 99:13 shown 43:23 44:8, 18,23 57:20 68:6 101:12 shows 10:3,12,21 11:15,23 40:10 43:9,13	sic 58:14 sick 85:987:24 side 26:494:3,5,13 sign 58:1691:22 92:6,1293:6 96:1097:1 signature 88:14,16,18,21 significant 49:1650:16 57:1 signing 55:2192:11 silly 97:25 similar 2:2362:21 63:21,23 64:4,1778:18 94:1498:7,9 similarly 5:14 simple 12:2158:13 simplifies 56:24 simply 45:447:20 65:2266:21 73:1474:11	14:22 21:15 22:7 23:10 24:12 sit 36:1 37:6 sitting 86:22 94:3,4 situation 52:7 63:11 situations 7:3 six 7:25 10:5,25 12:1 13:24 44:1 55:18 57:9,16,17 71:24 72:7 85:23 size 49:1 slight 70:12 78:19 slim 93:4 Smith 64:1 soap 94:7 Social 79:1 Solomon 77:6 Solutions 102:10 somebody 11:4 13:16 14:9 27:8,20
sends 73:16 77:1 80:6,12 89:20 99:17 senior	44:10,11,17 54:12 57:6,8 Shauna 101:5 102:9 She'll	40:10 43:9,13 51:6,16 52:5 55:14 78:21 shut-in 85:10	73:14 74:11 92:5 single 58:25 sir 5:19 9:10	14:927:8,20 28:24 song 79:18,23,24 80:3,4

				125
sorry 20:13 32:11 41:3 73:3 84:18 sort 27:17 97:23, 25 sound 87:19 sounds 68:9,10 source 35:20 South 38:18 84:13 93:25 southern 94:3 speak 50:20 80:15, 17 95:10,11 SPEAKER	23 34:2,6,8, 12,18 36:5 37:7,13,17 38:1,5,10,12 39:13,21,22, 24 41:15,18, 19,22 42:5, 10,13,14 44:12 45:12, 22 46:10,16 50:17,22,23, 25 51:2 53:15 58:1,2 59:9, 10,13,16,21 60:1,6,10, 12,24 61:4 62:3,11,15, 16 63:4,5,6 65:4,9,10,24 66:3,6 68:3 69:15,19,20, 23 72:21 73:1,3,16,18 74:4,19,20	<pre>special 73:25 79:10 specific 27:3 36:2 specifically 9:14 15:15 16:8 18:6 23:20 29:19 31:4 32:23 68:22 specifics 13:13 speel 63:2 spell 31:4 spelled 15:14 25:19 62:19 spells 29:18 spend</pre>	17:918:22 21:1822:11 29:1933:12, 2336:21 48:5,21,25 49:2,1150:7 59:1661:25 62:2463:5,25 64:2,1573:8 77:1378:9 79:982:2,25 83:1089:17 90:695:6 97:12101:6 statement 19:826:11 states 25:1127:2 65:1172:15 84:9,1286:18 State's 64:20 statute	strike 22:20,22 23:1,240:24 41:4,9 strikes 46:3 strikes 46:3 strike- through 18:2219:23 20:3 striking 89:9 stringent 75:1097:21, 22 strings 27:12 stronger 11:19 strongly 38:21 struck
2:2,6,8,14, 15,18 3:6,19, 22 4:3,6,9,12 5:8,18,20, 21,24 6:2,3, 5,23 7:5,14, 19 8:8,19,22, 24 9:3 15:25 16:1,5,20,21 17:1,5,7,9 18:3,6,7,13, 16,19 19:2,13 20:8,15 21:1, 6,9,15,17, 18,22 22:1,7, 11,16,18 23:4,9,11, 12,19 24:5,7, 11 29:21 32:14,15,22 33:12,18,21,	76:8,977:1, 13,18,21 78:10,14,15, 1779:15,20 80:681:4,5,7 82:20,22 85:11,19 86:2,487:14 89:20 90:6,7, 16,20 91:2,5, 6,14,20 92:22 93:19 94:20, 23,25 95:10, 19,20,23 96:2,6 98:12 99:4,16 speaking 31:7 speaks 30:23 31:5 32:6	85:5 stage 67:13 stand 26:238:2 standard 9:2510:8 11:1217:19 19:1,22,23 20:1 standards 30:464:15 standing 32:12 stands 13:5,8 State 6:14,237:5 14:1416:21	19:24,25:20:2 21:12 statutes 74:24 staying 76:5 step 27:19:35:24 97:5 steps 8:17 stops 61:19 stories 97:18,19 story 82:2 Strama 99:22	10:17 40:14, 19 stuck 79:7 Sub 25:6 43:19 44:9 subject 34:16 92:13 submit 36:20 Subsection 19:2,3 41:4 55:23 substance 16:16 17:6 substantial 64:3 substantial

C&S&SF: 721-8v-0019389RMB965579RLW61DocEiHehP2dd/17-116d 1067269F2 Page 3161385

House Floor Debate - Volume 1

				126
y 21:10 23:17 24:2 62:21 63:20,22 substantive 23:15 33:15, 19 34:1,5,11, 17,23,24 35:11,23 36:3,24 37:3, 21 substitution 34:24 suddenly 57:4 sufficiently 23:22 suffrage 94:10 97:14 suggest 66:17 67:16 87:1 91:9	59:5 supported 38:21 supposed 68:24 Supreme 84:16,20 sure 10:20 11:15 14:13 27:18 38:24 39:19 43:6 45:2 46:20 51:13 54:2 60:12 67:2,10 68:16 69:9 70:4 72:11 77:16 78:6 79:4 84:10 89:1 97:4,13 98:19,20,24 surely 85:6	57:25 74:17 76:25 77:3,9 79:19 80:4,5, 7,8,11 94:10 98:17 99:18, 19,21,24 tabling 74:3 95:17 Taiwan 38:18 take 5:5 15:21 19:21,22 32:2,4 35:22 36:22 37:2 58:19 65:23 70:9,12 71:5, 18 72:2 81:22 82:7,19 83:25 86:12,14 taken 10:16 15:6 102:2	Tarrant 72:3 tax 27:17 94:6 teachers 49:10 technology 30:5 Tel 102:12 tell 11:13 18:11 20:23 67:21 69:10 92:25 telling 31:8 67:17 tells 44:25 temporarily 60:4,662:9, 11 69:15 term	93:25 95:1 101:6 102:9, 11 Thank 2:8 5:8,18,24 9:5 15:4,24 16:19 18:18, 19 21:16 23:10 37:8 38:7 39:13,16 42:14 46:6 51:2 53:18 57:24 58:2 60:2,11 62:16 63:9,10 65:10 66:8 72:19,20 76:7,23 78:9, 10,15 79:17 90:15,20 91:1,21 93:18 95:9 99:15 Thanks 61:5 69:14 theft
<pre>suggested 20:20 38:25 suggesting 66:24 96:15, 17 Suite 102:11 summarize 17:12 summary 16:15,24 17:6,15,16, 21,22,23 supplemental 3:15,17 4:1, 6,14,16,17, 19,21,23 5:2 supplemented 29:16 support</pre>	surprise 47:7,8 sustained 41:17:50:19 85:13 swear 87:24 swearing 92:6 sworn 92:6 system 8:14:61:19 89:8 T T 64:17 table 38:15,16	takes 26:14 42:5 63:18 73:6 98:15 taking 15:12 27:19 49:24 67:3 talk 8:19 9:9 13:20 14:3, 19,25 42:16 51:9,10,14 56:21 talked 52:7 92:10 97:17 talking 32:11 40:17 43:6 81:10 84:7	term 11:19 terms 89:11 testified 29:19 testimony 26:18,23 96:14:101:9 102:2 Texans 38:17:88:9,25 TEXAS 1:7:27:3 32:19,21,23 33:1:36:17,21 48:5:49:1,2, 16:50:3,10,11 74:24:75:23 84:13,17,20 86:22:89:17	theft 60:14,19 therefor 101:22 therefore 90:12 thing 10:11 94:12 things 11:8 15:18 43:20 44:3 49:11 58:23 59:3 65:1 67:18 74:11 82:1 85:20 96:19 97:7,9, 17 98:1 think 11:17,22 14:23 24:19

Cases 1: 121-20001939 RM 096 47 97 LW 100 Clittle h 2 dd / 141/12 dd 106720 Page 4 2 61 3 85

House Floor Debate - Volume 1

				127
25:9 28:23 29:2 31:3 32:8 36:16 41:7,8 43:1, 4,12 45:6,7 47:22 48:13,	49:10 50:18 51:10 52:25 78:24 81:22, 24 83:8,11, 12,16 84:15 85:12	39:7 transparency 8:2 transparent 8:7	tune 48:10 turn 86:25 99:6 turned	55:25 un-American 84:5 Unanimous 41:23
18 49:17,20 56:10 57:1 58:21,22,23 59:3 65:1,22 66:1,15 67:14,20 70:3 72:13 74:13	times 27:14 49:20 timing 5:6 title 5:12	trash 71:5 traveling 87:25 treat 87:7 treated	63:12 Turner 61:3,4,5 62:6,7 Turner's 62:4 TV	unaware 20:21 u n - Constitution al 50:12
79:780:21,23 81:2,17,19, 2083:686:7 87:689:5,6, 7,8,1290:7 91:1292:18 93:11,12,16, 2197:3,5	today 13:16 14:14 36:12 43:2 46:13 82:17 86:23 88:9 91:1 today's 12:18 13:15	86:25 tremendous 88:25 tried 7:21 triggers 19:7	30:2 two 3:3,144:7 19:2134:16 36:1643:20 44:3,745:4 47:2058:18,	under 2:16,193:16, 194:135:11, 13,156:4,8 12:213:15,16 14:1015:18 16:4,617:18 18:2320:6
third 14:5,10 thought 32:18 71:22 81:14 three 27:13,14 34:1 35:14 75:14 throw	14:11 told 31:15 76:4 tool 89:15 topic 59:20 tradition	trouble 45:16 true 13:782:18 84:8,15101:9 trust 89:6 try	23 59:3 63:16 64:18,23 72:21 80:18 93:20 96:18 two-hour 3:1,16 4:18 5:2 type 33:5 40:10,	22:21 23:16 26:5 32:20,25 38:14 40:5,9 42:19 43:25 44:9 53:1,3, 21 55:22 60:16,20 61:6 66:10 68:5 71:22 77:23 78:3 80:24
66:19,25 67:19 thrown 67:23 tighten 69:8,13 time 2:225:97:1 8:4,1014:5, 1023:9 29:22,24,25 32:11,13	traditionall y 33:634:3,13, 21 Transcribed 1:11101:10 transcript 101:8,20 translate 64:7 transliterat	trying 10:18 26:7 42:16 48:17 51:11 65:2,16 66:1,20 68:15 69:8,9,13 80:19 83:13 91:7,8,9 95:1,7 97:3 Ts 64:18 Tuesday	23,24 74:10 types 14:24 typically 76:19 U U.S 39:298:9 ultimate 50:15 ultimately 50:14 57:19	91:21,23 92:6,14 93:7 94:11,18 98:25 undermines 57:3 understand 3:8 4:13 9:13 21:4 31:14 45:24 70:6 76:13 79:22 96:12,13,20 97:15,16
41:2,16,21, 23,24 44:15	ion	5:15	unable	understandin

Cases 1: 121-20001939 RM 096 47 FREW 100 c Tilled 106 720 Page 9461 285

House Floor Debate - Volume 1

				129
want	40:11 44:20	96:24	woman	61:13 63:16,
9:8 10:20,23	47:10,11,15	whatever	94:3	24 70:9,12,
13:20 14:3,	50:12,13	98:22		19,23,24
13,20,24,25	58:13 67:2		women	83:16,21
15:5,10 24:15	68:19 82:12	whether	78:21	86:24 93:20
27:14,16,18	94:11	28:24 33:2,3, 14 35:11	won	94:13,14
29:7 42:22	ways		61:9	yield
46:9,11 48:4	5:1 94:18	whichever	word	9:3 14:8
50:651:9,13,	website	6:1	10:16,17	24:9,11 38:10
14 52:13 53:8	6:14 7:6	white	words	39:23,24
54:15 55:10,		82:16	19:8 22:25	44:13 45:12
11,12 56:20	welcome	whole	work	46:16 50:24,
57:22 60:12	14:24 20:9	45:19 46:4	8:20 38:22	25 65:25 66:7
61:14 62:8,9	welcoming	54:16 55:10	39:18 47:4	69:24 74:21,
64:4 67:10	51:10	96:22 97:6	66:174:2	22 76:10
70:2,5,7	we'll	whomever		81:6,782:21,
80:17 81:11	8:19 36:8	30:6	working	22 87:12
82:8,983:15,	56:21 67:15		39:14	94:21,23
17 84:1,3,22	92:16	wildly	worse	95:22,23,24
85:19 88:3,4	well-taken	92:2	44:8,24 46:14	96:1,4,6
89:18 93:15	29:23 41:17	willing	56:10 57:2	yields
97:10,12	50:19 85:13	14:25 24:5	58:8	87:15
98:24 99:8		92:20	Worth	you-all
wanted	went 9:25 43:6	willingness	71:19 83:19	53:16 65:3
9:6,13 10:1	90:13	88:8	wouldn't	Z
21:20 22:25		wish	31:20 68:14	
24:13 27:4	we're	95:10 99:17	72:6 80:25	zero
38:20 43:5	4:17 8:2	wishes	86:9,11	25:7 26:7
46:176:13	10:11 11:9,10 15:10,17	80:14	would've	0
78:6	22:14 23:1,12	withdraw	49:6	03
wanting	24:17 25:6,16	59:960:4	writing	102:12
36:25 87:17	26:1,2,3,7	62:969:12	20:16 38:9	
wants	27:20 30:3	withdrawn	69:6 90:15	
35:25 37:4	49:9,10 51:11	59:10 60:7	written	'09
43:1	56:8 65:16	62:12 69:16	66:12 67:25	18:13,14
Washington	67:3,2168:16		94:12	1
94:8	74:6 76:17	within		า
watch	78:22 79:6	10:24 12:1	Y	1 1:10 23:21
83:22	80:21,22 81:2	13:24 34:20	Yeah	1:10 23:21
	84:23,24 91:8	44:159:19 85:23 87:10	56:18 57:23	
watching	97:10	101:19	72:10	10
97:12	we've		year	63:11
way	37:551:20,24	witness	48:11	1000
8:8 35:20	66:18 77:19	101:12	years	6:15 7:9,12
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	l

11 6:4,8,199:8, 1510:16 14:4,1115:6, 1916:7,8,11 43:1754:20	17 7 0 9 3,14 h	14:4,11 15:6 16:8,11 25:6 2B1A 55:23 3 3 32:20 34:19 35:12 37:22, 25 3/23/11 1:9 30	49 35:1237:22, 25 49K 32:20,23 34:19 5 5 78:897:12 50 77:880:11	73:7,11,12, 15,22 74:1, 13,25 75:3,7, 14,20,23,25 76:1,14 78:17,25 79:1,2,3,5, 14 83:1 87:22,23 88:1,6 65.054 43:25 44:1
20 12/31/2012 102:10 93:2 13 24:14 44:1 54:4,5,6 14 1:8 9:8 23:16 27:9 32:20 48:6,11 14th 65:15 15 2:10 9:12 26:6 28:6,7, 15 54:20 55:1,2 70:24 15th 5:10 65:16 16 2:17,20 3:5, 9,20 48:8 17 55 20 24:12 23 20 24:13 200 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201 201	16 25:7 2 61:12 20 3 16 5 5 48:17 1 101:3 2 1 102:6 4000 1 2 4 0 0 0 - 1 1 a r 11 h 2,14 13,14 t :5	49:3 101:19 30f1 101:15 32 16:6 19:2 32C 16:4 17:11,14 18:23 21:6 23:16 32C2 19:12 21:12 23:1 32C3 18:24 34 21:12 3786 102:9 4 6:4,8,18 16:4,6 17:10, 13 18:23,24 19:2 21:6 23:1,16 48:22	90:2 6 6 2:17,203:4, 9,199:15 41:4 60 75:1,2,14 60s 83:21 63.0008B 55:8 63.001 25:1343:15, 16,18,19 63.001G 55:7 63.009 43:851:5,16 52:10,20 53:1,2154:6, 855:8 63.009A 55:8 63.0101 40:18.2441:5	55:19 7 7 10:15 16:7 48:10,11 70 69:21 73:7,22 74:12 75:4,8, 14 76:5 79:7 80:2 81:15 83:21 86:24 87:5 700 6:15 713524-4600 102:12 75 70:9 81:10,11 77002 102:11 79th 2:24 8 805 102:11
55:20 1:13 18 2400	1 101:3	40	40:18,24 41:5 63.011	81st 18:8,10
24:16 30:5 1941 27 83:7		94:12,14 48 99:23	53:354:14,18 55:16 65	82R 1:8

March 23, 2011

131 865 18:17,19 9 9 54:1 900 6:13,25 97 90:2 98 70:23 80:11 83:15 98-year-old 90:8 99 77:8 99:23 9th 90:23,24

House Floor Debate - Volume II	March 23,	2011
		1
332491 eb		
TEXAS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES		
2011 (82R) SB 14		
3/23/11 House Floor Debate (Emergency	Calendar	<u>-</u>)
Volume 2		
VOIUME 2		
TRANSCRIBED ON APRIL 23, 201	2	
	_	

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23, 2011
 1
                 SPEAKER: Mr. Veasey, do you intend to
 2
     close?
            Do you wish to close.
 3
                 Mr. Veasey sends up an amendment.
     Ms. Harless moves to table.
                                  The motion -- excuse me.
 4
 5
     The vote is on the motion to table. Record vote
 6
     requested. A record vote is granted.
                                             The clerk will
 7
     ring the bell. This is on the motion to table.
     Ms. Harless voting aye. Show Mr. Strama voting no.
 8
                 Have all members voted?
 9
10
                 There being 99 ayes, 48 nays, the motion to
11
     table prevails.
12
                 Following the amendment, the clerk will read
13
     the amendment.
14
                 CLERK: Amendment by Dutton.
15
                 SPEAKER:
                           The Chair recognizes Mr. Dutton.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Mr. Speaker and
17
     Members, on Page 6 of the bill, there are certain
18
     requirements that indicate that the voter registration
19
     certificate or the provisions of this bill do not apply.
20
     We just did away with the 70 year old amendment, and so,
21
     it leaves the disabled but what this amendment simply
     does, it says that if the election judge --
22
23
                 And I might back up a minute because I want
     to just share with you what the -- what the origin of
24
25
     this amendment happened to be.
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 1 Mr. Speaker, could we have a little bit 2 better order? SPEAKER: Members, can we have some order so 3 you can hear Mr. Dutton explain his amendment. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Thank you, 6 Mr. Speaker. 7 Both of my parents were election judges. Between them, they had over 50 years of being an 8 election judge in Houston and Harris County. 9 10 And, generally, one of us, one of my siblings and I, we would -- on the morning of the 11 12 election, we would essentially take either my mom or my 13 dad to the polling place and, before I got elected, help 14 them get the -- to set up the whole polling place so 15 there could be an election. Under this bill, if my amendment didn't 16 17 pass, what would happen is I could do all of that, I 18 could go and get it all set up, and once it was time for 19 me to vote in that precinct, I would have to take out my 20

ID and show it to my mother and dad.

That didn't make any sense to me, and so, that's why I am offering this amendment because I believe that most of the precinct judges, most of the election judges, if they're like the ones that I know, they know just about everybody in that polling place.

21

22

23

24

25

March 23, 2011

They know everybody there.

In fact, my mother and dad got to the point where they could tell you what time a particular person was going to show up at the polls.

And so, what this amendment does is simply makes an exception that says for -- in the instance where the precinct judge, the election judge actually knows -- personally knows the person -- I think that saves all of this other stuff that's going on with this bill but it certainly provides an opportunity for us to not to have to burden the election process because it simply that means the election judge actually affirms that they personally know who it is that is appearing at the polling place, and that's what this amendment does, Mr. Speaker and Members.

SPEAKER: Ms. Harless, is the amendment acceptable?

The chair recognize Mr. Hancock speaking against the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE HANCOCK: Yes, Members, what this amendment does actually is it adds subjectivity to the election judge and really goes against what we need in total fairness and objectivity and that we stick with the current code and standards as defined in the statute.

March 23, 2011

I believe at this time the intention of the author is to table.

SPEAKER: Mr. Dutton, do you care to close?

Do you care to close, Mr. Dutton? The Chair recognize

Mr. Dutton.

REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: All right. There was a little confusion. I didn't hear that he made the motion to table. I don't -- unless there's something going on in this bill that I don't understand, it is designed to protect the integrity of the voting process, and I don't know what better way to protect that than to limit the ability of someone to show up at the polling place and vote except by virtue of the fact that the polling -- the election judge actually knows the person.

I don't -- that makes no sense to me, and so, if I actually know the person and that person is personally somebody I know personally and even if they didn't have an ID but they had their voter registration card, I don't know why I wouldn't let them vote.

Can you imagine a scenario where I have gone to take my parent -- one of my parents over to the polling place, I, all of the sudden, got there about 6:00 o'clock in the morning, which we typically did, got the polls, helped them set up the polling place, helped them set up the voting booth and then said, "Oh, I

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
 1
     forgot my wallet at home, mom."
                 And then my mom said, "Why do you need
 2
     that?"
 3
                 "Well, you need that because this particular
 4
 5
     bill, Senate Bill 14, says I have to have a picture ID."
 6
                 My mom would look at me and think I had
 7
     absolutely gone crazy. And I think that's what most
     people are going to think about this legislature, that
 8
     if you don't let the election judge say that I
 9
10
     personally know this person, so, why do they need to go
     home and get their ID, then there must be something
11
12
     other than protecting the integrity of the election
13
     process that this bill is attempting to do.
14
                 And so, with that, Mr. Speaker and Members,
15
     I would ask you to vote no on the motion to table and
16
     prove to the people in Texas that common sense is
17
     actually common in this legislature.
18
                 SPEAKER: Mr. Dutton sends up an amendment.
19
     Mr. Hancock moves to table. The motion is -- excuse me.
20
     The vote is on the motion to table. Record vote has
21
     been requested. Record vote is granted. The clerk will
22
     ring the bell. Show Ms. Harless voting aye.
23
     Ms. Chen-Button voting aye.
                 Have all members voted?
24
25
                 Being 100 ayes, 48 nays, the motion to table
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 1 prevails. Following the amendment, the clerk will read 2 the amendment. 3 Is Mr. Eiland on the floor? 4 5 CLERK: Amendment by Eiland. SPEAKER: The chair recognizes Mr. Eiland. 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE EILAND: Mr. Speaker, Members, being someone that has been through a hurricane 8 very close to the election date, this is the reason that 9 10 I bring this amendment to you. Those of us on the coast, when hurricane 11 12 season is -- runs until November 1st, which is after the 13 completion of hurricane season, this is a very real 14 danger for us. And so, what we have is that if you have 15 an area that has been -- that is a declared natural 16 disaster by the governor or the president of the United 17 States, then you sign an affidavit that says if you 18 don't have a your ID because of that, then you think go 19 ahead and vote. 20 This is a very real issue as in the storm in 21 Galveston after Hurricane Ike, people were actually locked out of the island for many days and lost 22 23 everything. So, this is -- also wild fires in West Texas 24 25 could be at issue. So, that's what this amendment does,

March 23, 2011

it just creates an ability to execute an affidavit if there's a natural disaster and you don't have a photo ID for that reason.

SPEAKER: Mr. Eiland sends up an amendment. The amendment is acceptable to the author. Is there any objection? The Chair hears none. The amendment is adopted.

Following the amendment, the clerk will read the amendment.

Mr. Raymond.

CLERK: Amendment by Raymond.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Mr. Raymond.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Thank you,

Mr. Speaker, Members.

Member, under current law, an employer has to give you time to go vote. However, with this new -- with the new provisions that are being proposed in this legislation, an employer does not have to give you time to go get the ID -- the proper ID that you need to comply with this proposed bill.

So, my amendment would simply say that if you do not have the proper ID and you can show that your employer did not permit you to take time to go get the ID necessary, that you would then be exempt from the provision in this bill.

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23, 2011
 1
                 SPEAKER: Madam, door keeper, for what
     purpose?
 2
 3
                 DOOR KEEPER: Hear from the Senate at the
     door of the House.
 4
 5
                 SPEAKER:
                           Admit the messenger.
                 MESSENGER: Mr. Speaker, I'm directed by the
 6
 7
     Senate to inform the House that the Senate has taken the
     following action.
 8
                 SPEAKER: The amendment is temporarily
 9
10
     withdrawn.
                 Following the amendment, the clerk will read
11
12
     the amendment. Representative Martinez.
13
                 CLERK:
                         Amendment by Martinez.
14
                 SPEAKER:
                           The Chair recognizes Mr. Martinez.
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ: Okay.
16
     Mr. Speaker, Members.
17
                 We need to understand that there's no such
18
     thing as a free ID, and according to Texas and DPS, a
19
     person applying for a state ID must apply in person,
20
     present documents that verify their identity, complete
21
     an application and consent to be photographed,
     fingerprinted and provide your signature.
22
23
                 So, you must perform one of the forms of
     primary ID and two forms of secondary ID or one form of
24
25
     secondary and two forms of supporting ID.
```

March 23, 2011

So, for primary ID, it includes Texas driver's license, U.S. citizenship certificate or certificate of naturalization, unexpired citizen ID card, resident alien card or temporary resident ID card, employment authorization card, foreign passport with a visa or U.S. military state card.

Secondary ID forms include original or certified copy of your birth certificate, an original or certified copy of the U.S. Department of State certification or birth abroad and, finally, an original or certified copy of the court order with the name and the date of birth, indicating the official change of name.

Some supporting IDs include vehicle titles, insurance policies, military records, original marriage license or divorce decrees, Social Security cards, actual pilot's license cards or expired driver's license.

So, Members, needless to say, it takes an ID to get an ID. So, therefore, we need to ensure that if we truly want to provide a free ID here in Texas for our voters that it actually is free, and to get this ID, it does cost you money.

SPEAKER: Mr. Walle, for what purpose?

REPRESENTATIVE WALLE: Mr. Speaker, will the

JA_002343

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                   March 23,
                                                             2011
                                                               11
1
     gentleman yield?
 2
                 SPEAKER: Would you yield?
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ: Yes. Yes, sir, I
     will.
 4
 5
                 SPEAKER: He will.
                 REPRESENTATIVE WALLE: Representative
 6
 7
     Martinez, doesn't the bill currently allow you to get a
     free ID?
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ:
 9
                                            What is that?
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE WALLE: Doesn't the bill
     currently allow you to get a free ID?
11
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ: Well, the bill
13
     says it allows you to get a free ID but, as seen, it
14
     takes an ID to get an ID.
15
                 So, let's say, for example, that you lose
16
     your driver's license, Mr. Walle, what happens -- what
17
     has to happen, you need to go and try to obtain a birth
18
     certificate that you have to pay, you need to get a
19
     certified copy that you pay $22.
20
                 So, we're simply trying to say that if the
21
     State is interested in providing free IDs, they should
     truly be free. So, if you lose your ID, you don't have
22
23
     to go and pay to get a registered ID, that document
24
     should be free. That's all we're saying.
25
                 REPRESENTATIVE WALLE: Okay. Can you give
```

March 23, 2011

me an example of what fees you -- what fees you see being incurred?

mentioned that earlier, if I currently don't know where my original birth certificate is, it's probably still at my parents' house, for example, if I lost my Texas driver's license and tried to get the state issued free ID card, I would still have to incur a cost because I would to have provide a certified copy of my birth certificate. So, if I didn't know where that birth certificate was and I had to go and try and find that and I had to get a certified copy of that birth certificate, in trying to obtain and get a certified copy of the birth certificate, you have to pay \$22.

So, you're going to have to go down to your local vital statistics and pay \$22 in order to get that. And we're simply trying to say that if we're interested in obtaining a free ID card, that it should be free.

REPRESENTATIVE WALLE: Thank you.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognize Representative Harless.

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Members, I'd like to make a motion to table this. The bill is about voter ID, not issuing documents for free ID.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes

March 23, 2011

Representative Martinez.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ: Okay. Members, so then we're going to tell the people of Texas -- we're going to tell them that they're getting a free ID when they really aren't.

So, if you're going to go -- you lose your driver's license and you have to go obtain an ID, you're going to have get a certified copy of your birth certificate, so, you're still going to have to get -- you have to pay for that.

So, all we're saying is if this is free, do not allow the people to have to go and pay for a certified copy of your birth certificate. If it's free, it should be a free across the board. So, let's not charge the people of Texas in order to vote.

That is a hidden poll tax on the people of Texas, Members, and I vote no on that motion to table.

SPEAKER: Mr. Martinez sends up an amendment. Representative Harless moves to table. The vote is on the motion to table. It's a record vote. The clerk ring the bell. Representative Gonzalez from El Paso voting no. Show Representative Harless voting aye. Show Representative Berman voting aye.

Have all voted? Being 100 ayes and 49 nays, motion to table prevails.

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011

Following the amendment, the clerk will read the amendment.

CLERK: Amendment by Raymond.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes

Representative Raymond.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Thank you,
Mr. Speaker, Members. This is an amendment I started
laying out a little while ago.

Members, as you know, what this bill proposes is that you have to have your voter registration card. You have to show you're registered.

Under current law, employers have to let you go vote. What they don't have to do is they don't have to let you go get the identification that you need to comply with this new proposed law.

So, what I do with this amendment is say if you're a registered voter, you've got your registration card and you go to vote but you don't have the requisite ID that's laid out in this bill and you present a paycheck or a copy of another official employment document that includes the information of the voter's employer and informs the election officer that the voter's employer does not permit the voter to be absent from work for the purpose of obtaining photo identification and that offices of the Department of

March 23, 2011

Public Safety are not open for at least two consecutive hours outside of the voter's working hours.

Very simply, Members, there will be people out there who are registered to vote but whose employers will not give them the time, as they currently have to under law, give them time to go vote, they won't give them the time to go get the ID that they need. So, that's what this amendment is about. Move adoption.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
Representative Van Taylor in opposition.

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Mr. Speaker,
Members, I rise in opposition to this amendment. This
deals with people who already have jobs, and in order to
have a job in this country, an individual must fill out
an I-9 form. An I-9 form requires identification. So,
I'm not really sure where this is coming from because
these people already had ID to get a job in the first
place. I move to table this amendment.

SPEAKER: Mr. Raymond, for what purpose?

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

SPEAKER: Will the gentleman yield?
REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: I yield.
REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Repeat that,

Mr. Van Taylor, because I didn't quite hear you. I

March 23, 2011

apologize.

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Sure. For an individual -- for a U.S. citizen -- and there are -- only U.S. citizens should be registered to vote in this state -- to have a job, they must fill out an I-9 form for their employer. To fill out an I-9 form, they have to have some form of identification.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Okay. So, is there a scenario that you can envision, Mr. Van Taylor, where somebody went to work for you, let's say, ten years ago, right, and they had to fill out the proper forms and show you the proper ID, et cetera, right?

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Correct.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Ten years ago. Can you imagine that there would be an instance in this state of 25 million people where registered and eligible voters might for some reason ten years later -- might for some reason ten years later not have the ID that is being required in this bill? Could you imagine that scenario?

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Yes

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Okay. So, you can imagine what I'm trying to get to here, which is you're exactly right, if they work for Van Taylor and they show up at the polling place and they have a voter

March 23, 2011

registration card and they have something from Van

Taylor, Inc. saying, you know, "I did not provide them

time to go get a new ID as is required by this bill" -
REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: There was a

question there somewhere, I'm sure.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Yeah. I just said can you imagine that if somebody worked for you, you hired them legally ten years ago, some point since then they lost the ID, this bill passes, this bill becomes law, they lost their ID but Van Taylor, Inc. didn't let them -- give them time during the day to go get the ID that they needed, you can also imagine in a state of 25 million people that there could be instance likes that?

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Well, in the example you just gave, there are opportunities for someone to get their driver's license either on line, as many of us have done, or -- or by mail.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: I'm not sure you can go get our -- what you're asking for in this bill, that you could get it on line.

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: The original form of identification, which you would have to have for the I-9 form, since we're only talking about the employed people who are U.S. citizens, you can -- you have to show up in person to get your first driver's

March 23, 2011

license, to get photo ID, we have to take your picture somehow, of course. Subsequently, after we've gotten your picture, you can renew for a number of times on line.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: You haven't had your driver's license for six years?

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: One moment.

SPEAKER: Stop the clock.

extensive training for poll workers and election judges in how to handle it when someone doesn't have identification. We already have -- we have a provisional ballot system but the supposition that you're making that people may show up to the polls without an ID, which could happen and this bill envisions that and gives the voter the opportunity to take care of that, but your original premise that people who are employed in this state don't have photo ID doesn't hold up when you look at the federal requirement for the I-9 form.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Well, it does, as you acknowledged just a minute ago that if somebody went to work for you ten years ago and you hired them legally, that ten years later, they might not have the ID that they had ten years ago. They might have lost

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23, 2011
                                                               19
 1
          Would you -- you acknowledged that earlier. Would
     it.
 2
     you acknowledge that now?
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR:
                                              I do.
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Finally, let me ask
 4
 5
     this, Mr. Van Taylor: Do you know what those of us who
 6
     are opposed to this legislation are doing? We're trying
 7
     to protect voting rights. You recognize that, right?
                 REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Absolutely, as
 8
     are the proponents of this bill. We seek to protect
 9
10
     voting rights by protecting the --
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND:
11
                                           Then let me ask you
12
     this --
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: -- by making
     sure that every vote counts, and that's what this bill
14
15
     is ultimately about and what we seek to do in our
16
     support of voter ID.
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND:
                                           Let me ask you a
18
     simple question. Do you believe the Voting Rights Act
19
     is a good law?
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: I believe the
21
     Voting Rights Act did a great thing to protect
     Americans' right to vote, all Americans regardless of --
22
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: So, you believe the
     Voting Rights Act is still necessary?
24
25
                 REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Yes.
```

March 23, 2011

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Is that because you believe discrimination still exists?

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: I think of the Voting Rights Act -- there are many aspects of it that protect our ability to vote.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Well, if it's protecting discrimination in the voting place, so, if you believe it's a good law, do you believe discrimination in the voting place still exists?

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: I'm not sure where you're going with this.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: No. No. This is -- it's I'm not going anywhere. This is very simple. This is about protecting voting rights, and I asked you if you believed the Voting Rights Act was a good law. You said yes, right?

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: This bill that we're debating right here on the floor is about voter identification at the polling station when people vote in the State of Texas.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: And any time you deal with a law that has to do with voting, you have to take into consideration the rights of people to vote.

Any time you deal with a law as comprehensive and big as this -- as comprehensive and big as this, you have to

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                21
 1
     take into account people's voting rights. You would
     agree with that, right?
 2
                 REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: I think that it
 3
     is important to remember that this bill is about making
 4
 5
     sure that the right people show up on election day
     and --
 6
 7
                                           That the right
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND:
     people show up on election day?
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Well --
 9
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Who are the right
11
     people?
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: The people who
13
     are registered vote and can demonstrate they are who
14
     they say they are through having proper voter
15
     identification.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Again -- and I'll
17
     let you go after this but I just want to establish you
18
     and I serve on committees together, it's been great
19
     getting to know you. You acknowledge that we still need
20
     the Voting Rights Act because the Voting Rights Act
21
     prevents discrimination in the polling place and if you
     still think we need it, presumably you believe there's
22
23
     still problems?
24
                 REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, as
25
     you well know, this -- this type of legislation, voter
```

March 23, 2011

identification, has been approved under the Voters Right Act in other states. I mean, this is -- this has already been litigated by other states.

But the point of your amendment, coming back to what I think you wanted to talk about here today, which is the ability of people who have jobs who somehow might not have identification, those people in this country who have jobs have to fill out an I-9 form. That requires identification.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: And along those lines, according to the -- what web site is this -- the Workforce Commission, it says in order to get an I-9, you have to have a voter registration card and a Social Security account number to get an I-9, which means those are the two things you need to get a photo ID.

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: That is -- those are two possible combinations from list A -- excuse me -- from list B and list C.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: That's all you need. So, to get an I-9, you need a voter registration card and a Social Security card. So, would you agree then that if somebody has a voter registration card and Social Security card, they ought to be able to vote, too, if they're registered to vote, obviously?

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Clearly.

March 23, 2011

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: You do? Then I can offer that amendment. Do you want to -- do you want to tell Ms. Harless back there? Do you want to offer it together?

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Look, we -- it is important that we have a voter identification requirement in this state to make sure that when people show up to vote, they are who they say that they are.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Do you think it is sufficient, as it is under law, that in order to get an I-9 card, which you hang this whole thing on, that all you need are a voter registration card and a Social Security number? Do you think that's sufficient to get an I-9 card? That's what the law is. Do you agree with the law or disagree with it?

REPRESENTATIVE VAN TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, this is a narrowly-defined bill. It is designed to make sure that the people who show up to vote are, in fact, who they say they are. The fact is it is too simple to steal a voter identification card and use that for in person ballot fraud.

I have witnessed it with my own eyes. We talked about this Monday, in Representative Anchia's district. I watched somebody walk in and say, "Somebody took my voter registration card. I'm here to vote," and

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                24
 1
     they were denied the right to vote.
 2
                 SPEAKER: Representative Sheffield raised a
     point of order, gentlemen. Time is expired. Point of
 3
     order --
 4
 5
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Mr. Speaker, I'd
     ask that the -- that our exchanged be reduced to writing
 6
 7
     and entered in the journal.
                 Thank you.
 8
                 SPEAKER: Now that you've heard the motion,
 9
10
     is there objection?
                 The Chair hears none. So ordered.
11
12
                 The Chair recognizes Mr. Raymond to close.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND:
                                           Members, I know
14
     it's hard to listen when we've got an extended debate
15
     but as Mr. Van Taylor just mentioned, an I-9 form -- an
16
     I-9 ID is very important in his world as an employer,
17
     and all you need to get an I-9 form is a voter
18
     registration card and a Social Security number.
19
                 I -- basically, what I'm doing with this
20
     amendment is matching it up to what we do right now to
21
     get an I-9 form.
22
                 To not pass this -- to not pass this
23
     amendment, which the motion has been made to table, to
     not pass this amendment when current law in the State of
24
25
     Texas, which I imagine many of the members in here voted
```

for, says that all you need for an I-9 card is a voter registration card -- an I-9 ID is a voter registration card and a Social Security number, that that works there but when it comes to voting, you're going to vote down an amendment -- if you vote aye on the motion to table, you're voting down an amendment that says voting -- you have less protections as a voter and less rights as a voter than you do as a worker.

So, I ask that you vote no on the motion to table and -- and I think that Mr. Van Taylor asks that you vote aye.

SPEAKER: Representative Raymond sends up an amendment. Mr. Van Taylor moves to table. The question is on the motion to table. Record vote. Clerk will ring the bell.

Show Mr. Van Taylor voting aye. Show Ms. Harless voting aye. Show Mr. Raymond voting no.

Have all voted? Being 101 ayes and 48 nays, motion to table prevails.

Following the amendment, clerk will read the amendment.

CLERK: Amendment by Duke.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes

Representative Dukes.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Thank you,

March 23, 2011

Mr. Speaker, Members. This is a very simple amendment. It allows for a temporary driving permit issued to the person by the Department of Public Safety to be used as a valid form of identification enabled to vote.

A temporary driver's permit is issued by the State of Texas. It shows the name and address of the person and includes an expiration date.

In order to qualify, a person -- the permit must include the person's name, the Texas driver's license number, their birth date, their address, a physical description of them.

Having a temporary driving permit shouldn't hindered a person's ability to vote and, if adopted, would allow more individuals to have access to the voting process.

SPEAKER: Mr. Villarreal, for what purpose?

REPRESENTATIVE VILLARREAL: Mr. Speaker,
will the gentlelady yield for questions?

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: I yield.

SPEAKER: She yields.

REPRESENTATIVE VILLARREAL: Ms. Dukes, how long has an out of state photo ID been an acceptable form of photo ID for voting?

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Excuse me. Could you -- could you repeat the question?

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 27 1 REPRESENTATIVE VILLARREAL: Sure. How long 2 has an out of state photo ID been an acceptable form of ID for voting? 3 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: I'm not certain. Can 4 5 you tell me? REPRESENTATIVE VILLARREAL: Well, since the 6 7 75th legislature, in fact. I'm so glad you asked. Are you aware of any voter fraud involving 8 the use of out of state photo ID? 9 10 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: I can't recall any. Can you tell me? 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE VILLARREAL: Neither can I. 13 I think there -- my understanding is that there has not been any documentation of an out of state photo ID being 14 15 used in inappropriate voting. 16 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: You know --17 REPRESENTATIVE VILLARREAL: Thank you for 18 your amendment. 19 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: You know, one would 20 assume that this bill is strictly about having an ID to 21 vote, not about creating an additional offense within the law as a reason why an individual would lose their 22 23 right to vote. A temporary driver's permit usually is for, 24 25 you know, individuals that may have an infraction far

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               28
     less than the felony that a federal law or state law
 1
 2
     requires in removing one's license and not having the
 3
     ability to vote. So, one would assume that one should
     not be penalized for a valid temporary driving permit
 4
 5
     from their right in the process to vote.
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: : Mr. Chairman,
 6
 7
     will the gentlelady.
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Yes, I yield.
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Does the document
 9
10
     you're talking about have a picture on it?
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Yes, sir. It has a
11
12
     physical description of the person.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Does it have a
14
     picture?
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES:
                                         Their height, their
16
     weight. In some cases, some of them do, in some cases,
17
     but it shows their name, their address, their expiration
18
            It's issued by the State of Texas.
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Does it have --
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: It has a driver's
21
     license number.
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: But you can't
22
23
     quaranty that it will have a picture on it if we vote
     for your amendment?
24
25
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: A temporary driver's
```

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               29
     permit, though, it's still issued by the State of Texas
1
 2
     and it still has everything that --
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Thank you.
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: -- that could be
 4
 5
     used.
                 You are most welcome. And I move passage.
 6
 7
                 SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
     Representative Harless in opposition.
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Thank you, Members.
 9
10
     I would request that you vote to table this amendment.
                 Temporary ID has a photo on it. We got a
11
12
     letter from the DPS saying that when they issue a
13
     temporary ID or a driver's license, they have photos on
14
     them.
15
                 They also testified that their time
16
     turnaround is 15 days. I ask that you table this.
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Mr. Speaker?
18
                 SPEAKER: Ms. Dukes, for what purpose?
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Will the gentlelady
20
     yield?
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
22
                 SPEAKER: She yields.
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: It's not necessarily
     true that all of the temporary driving permits have a
24
25
     picture on them. There are -- as a matter of fact, when
```

March 23, 2011

3.0

I requested some information from DPS on Friday, there are large numbers of individuals that have these temporary permits that do not have their IDs on them and they look more like this than what you're talking about but yet they are not individuals who have committed a felony under the law as to why their license would have been removed.

Are you -- is it your intent to create an additional penalty under the law to take away a person's right to vote by preventing them from having the ability to vote with a temporary driving permit?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: The -- the -- the specific ID spelled out in the bill is very, very broad. You can have a driver's license. You can have a Texas ID -- a Texas driver's license, a Texas ID, a passport. You can have a citizen certificate with your picture on it. You can have a concealed handgun license.

These are standardized forms, and they're the acceptable forms for voting in person at -- on a polling day.

Now, if you do not have one of those forms, you can always cast a provisional ballot and bring back the information and have your ballot counted.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Explain to me why a temporary driving permit issued by the State of Texas is

March 23, 2011

not good enough under your bill since you're the author of it. In your mind, why is it not good enough to have a temporary driving permit?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Because there's not necessarily on -- DPS says that they have a photo on their driver's license and ID cards that are temporary.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Not true. There are some that use a form that look just like this. If we need to walk -- I know this is a far distance. If we need to walk it down to you, then we can do that but there are some temporary permits that may not have that ID but what I don't understand is that if it's a government, State of Texas issued temporary driving permit, why is that not good enough when it already has a physical description of the person, it has their address, it has their age, it has an expiration date, the color of their eyes, their height, their weight, noting their sex, why is that not good enough?

Is it just that you're trying to say that anyone -- anyone, even if it's not a felony because that's the only reason why someone's license would be suspended and they would not have the right to vote under the federal or state law, are you trying to say now that a misdemeanor or someone who is brand new just shouldn't have the right to vote? Because you're

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               32
 1
     stretching it.
 2
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Do you want me to
 3
     answer or do you want to --
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: I want you to answer
 4
 5
     directly on that question.
 6
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Okay.
 7
     temporary ID receipt does not have the security features
     that a physical ID card or driver's license has and it
 8
     is easy to be duplicated. That is the reason we have --
 9
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: If a temporary
     driving permit is good enough to be used to drive and
11
12
     for many other purposes, why is it not good enough to
13
     vote when you haven't found any problems that you've
     been able to prosecute in the State of Texas?
14
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: We are talking
16
     about security features and showing that you are who you
17
     say you are when you show up to vote. A driver's
18
     license and ID card issued with a photo has those
19
     security provisions in it. A temporary driver's license
20
     or a temporary ID does not have those security features
21
     in it.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: So, you're saying
23
     that --
24
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: So, I'm saying that
25
     I'd like to make a motion to table.
```

March 23, 2011

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: So, you're saying to go in to vote, with these judges that are in the voting polling places, a temporary driving permit is not good enough for them but it's good enough for every officer who stops you on the street?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I'm saying that we have to protect the ballot box and --

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: You have to protect the ballot box more than the police officer has to protect the street?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: By making sure that you show a photo ID and that you are the person that you say you are, and the temporary ID and the temporary driver's license can be duplicated and --

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: You're saying that the right to vote -- those -- not necessarily the right to vote but the people who are untrained working at the polls that they can't accept a temporary driving permit when the police officers can?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Well, under the bill, the training of the poll workers is now mandated. It's not optional. So, they will be trained on how to recognize the ID.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: So, you've just stated by your bill that anyone who is delinquent on

March 23, 2011

their child support, because they can have their driver's license pulled and have only a temporary ID, will no longer have the right to vote. That's a whole lot of people.

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: They have a right to vote a provisional ballot.

making them -- your bill still makes them go and buy another form of ID. Why not use the ID that they are issued, a temporary driving permit?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Well, and they'll have a permanent ID as soon as it comes in, usually within 15 days.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: It sounds more like your bill is attempting to, one, create an offense under the law that prevents people from voting when it's not even a felony and the federal government doesn't prevent them from voting and, two, just to prevent people who you think are going to vote a certain way. Sad for the State of Texas. Really sad.

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I move to table.

REPRESENTATIVE BURNAM: Mr. Speaker, would
the lady yield? Mr. Speaker, will the lady yield?

SPEAKER: Just a minute, Mr. Burnam.

Ms. Harless yields.

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                35
 1
                 REPRESENTATIVE BURNAM: I'm sorry.
     Ms. Harless, did you yield?
 2
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Yes.
                 REPRESENTATIVE BURNAM: Thank you so much.
 4
 5
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: You're one of my
     favorites, of course.
 6
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE BURNAM: Of course.
                 You may not be familiar, however, with the
 8
     right of responsibility bill that Representative Berman
 9
10
     and I both had in (Inaudible) public safety yesterday.
     And if you're not familiar with that bill, you may not
11
12
     be aware that every year -- every year for the last five
13
     years people have had -- 100,000 Texans a year have had
14
     their driver's license suspended.
                                         They've had that
     driver's license taken from them, and they are issued
15
16
     the form that Representative Dukes was showing you from
17
     the back mic.
18
                 And I'm just wondering what you propose to
19
     do. Are all 100,000 people per year just going to have
20
     to vote provisionally?
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
                                           I don't know how
     many of those hundred thousand people are actually
22
23
     registered to vote.
                 REPRESENTATIVE BURNAM: Well, in theory,
24
25
     they have the opportunity, most of them, the ones that
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 36 1 are over 21 and qualify. So, let's just knock it down and say only 75,000 a year are denied the right to 2 drive, their driver's license is suspended, are you 3 going to say that these people are all going to have to 4 5 vote provisionally? REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: If they don't have 6 7 another alternative form of photo ID, such as a passport or citizenship paper with their photo on it or either a 8 CHL license, if they --9 10 SPEAKER: Representative Phillips raised a The gentleman's time expired. 11 point of order. Point of 12 order is well taken. Sustained. 13 The Chair recognizes Representative Harless. 14 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I'm removing my 15 motion to table so Representative Dukes may close on her 16 amendment. 17 SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes 18 Representative Dukes.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Thank you,

Mr. Speaker and Members. Thank you Representative

Harless for giving me the ability to close on this

amendment.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Members, having a temporary driving

permit -- what class of voters would be excluded from

voting if we didn't allow a temporary driving permit? A

JA_002369

March 23, 2011

temporary driving permit is issued to adult drivers, legal minorities and commercial truck drivers who may have lost their photo identification due to various offenses or alcohol related offenses.

These offenses are punishable under the Texas Transportation Code 524.011. Over 100,000 individuals per year will be excluded if they are not allowed to utilize their temporary driving permit, which does not include a photo of them.

Now, why is the ID needed? Because young voters, adult drivers and commercial drivers or truck drivers who have a temporary driving permit should not be further punished by not being allowed to vote because they made a mistake while driving.

We have even had some who have been allowed to pass on and run for higher office with these little minor mistakes. So, we shouldn't take away their right to vote.

A driving infraction or mistake should not be punished by disallowing a person access to the polls because he or she carries an alternative ID.

We need to make sure when persons are punished for one action in our community, they are not punished for another action, especially one as important as their right to cast a vote.

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                38
 1
                 Under the federal --
                 SPEAKER: Mr. (Inaudible), for what purpose?
 2
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: Does the gentlelady
     yield?
 4
 5
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Yes, I do yield.
 6
                 SPEAKER: She yields.
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA:
                                           I practice some DWI
     law back home in my district, and one of the common
 8
     occurrences is that an individual gets stopped and has
 9
10
     over the blood alcohol limit that's required by law, has
     their license taken, and then there's a suspension
11
12
     procedure, and they're given a yellow form that is a
13
     temporary driving permit and it's good until there is a
14
     hearing and an administrative law judge makes a
15
     decision.
16
                 When I have a client like that that needs
17
     identification, I send them down to the DPS office, and
18
     they are able to get a temporary identification card to
19
     use for the purposes that they need an identification
20
     for.
21
                 So, in those instance that you're citing, I
     don't see any reason why -- or maybe you can tell me why
22
23
     they could not go to the DPS office and get this
     temporary -- excuse me -- this identification card,
24
25
     which is used -- a photo identification card, which is
```

yellow form, a license suspension form.

And they tell me, "What am I going to do now without a photo ID to go check out a movie, " or whatever it is they need to do with a photo ID.

21

22

23

24

25

I send them over to the DPS office, and they

March 23, 2011

get a photo ID and they're able to function with their temporary permit, that little yellow piece of paper, and they use a photo ID from the DPS when they need to use a photo ID.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Yeah, but, you know, that is -- what you're talking about is something a little bit different than the right -- the guarantied right to vote.

They've had an offense under the law, and they are paying you to get them off of their DWI. So, you're giving them advice to do something but there are some folks who have these temporary IDs that they've already dealt with their situation. Now we're stating we're going to take away your ability -- or make it more difficult for you to have a right to vote.

REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: My point is they can go obtain the DPS ID, the same DPS ID that I tell my clients to go obtain.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Why do you want to make it so much difficult for people to vote?

REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: It's not a question of making it difficult to vote.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Yes, it is. Yes, it is, because it's not that difficult now. Who is it truly that you don't want to give the ability to vote?

March 23, 2011

REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: That is not -- that is not my purpose.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Because I will tell you one thing, this temporary driving permit is going to affect a whole lot of people but not a whole bunch of them are going to live in my district. So, really, you need to think again about the argument.

REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: It is my purpose to see that the system is fair and also that it is as secure as we can make it. There is nothing you can't do in this society that --

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Many of us have been trying to do that since prior 1964, to make sure it was fair and secure but this law is changing a whole lot of the things that my ancestors went through. It's changing it by making it more difficult for my ancestors and people who look like me to have the ability to go and vote. It's making it more difficult for people who are disenfranchised to have the ability to go down and to get some form of ID because they will be charged an additional amount.

This same concept of disenfranchisement that's taken away so many rights under HB 1 that will come up next week but I'll talk about that next week but don't take away the right that my people have had and

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23, 2011
     access to vote. Don't try to make it more difficult for
 1
     my people to have the ability to vote.
 2
                 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: Ma'am --
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Do whatever you want
 4
 5
     for your DWI folks, whatever. You advise them as you
 6
     please.
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: Ma'am --
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: But don't advise my
 8
     folks.
 9
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA:
                                           Ma'am, I'm an
     immigrant to this country, and I came from Mexico. Do
11
12
     you know what they require in Mexico? They require a
13
     biometric identification to vote.
14
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Well, we're in Texas.
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA:
                                           That means a
16
     picture ID, including a fingerprint.
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: We're in Texas.
18
     We're in Texas, and a whole bunch of things are
19
     different in Texas. We're in America. We're in
20
     America, and a whole lot of things are different.
21
     one thing that we did have going for us, at least we had
22
     civil rights but that seems to be being rolled back.
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA:
                                           Thank you, ma'am.
24
                 SPEAKER: Mr. Burnam, for what purpose?
25
                 REPRESENTATIVE BURNAM: Will the lady yield?
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 43 1 SPEAKER: Will you yield, Ms. Dukes? 2 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Yes. 3 SPEAKER: She yields. REPRESENTATIVE BURNAM: Ms. Dukes, are you 4 5 aware that my father-in-law and your constituent, the entire time you've been in office, Dr. Glenn Roarke, had 6 7 his driver's license taken away from him for no cause, was not issued either -- any kind of documentation and 8 had to make three different visits to three different 9 10 DPS facilities here in Austin, Texas, taking over two hours each visit in order to get a temporary permit? 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: No, I was not aware. 13 REPRESENTATIVE BURNAM: Ms. Dukes, are you 14 aware that Tarrant County has grown exponentially in 15 population over the last decade and now has over 1.7 16 million, almost 1.8 million people in it and there are 17 still only three DPS offices in the county and all three 18 of them are outside the loop, not on bus lines? 19 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Wow, that's going to 20 make it pretty difficult. 21 REPRESENTATIVE BURNAM: Yeah. Thank you. 22 SPEAKER: Representative (Inaudible) raised

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Vote against the

a point of order that the gentlelady's time has expired.

The point is well taken.

23

24

25

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 44 1 motion to table. 2 SPEAKER: Representative Dukes has an 3 amendment. Representative Harless moves to table. question is on the motion to table. All in favor vote 4 5 aye, all opposed no. Clerk ring the bell. Show Ms. Dukes voting no. 6 7 Have all members voted? By a vote of 99 ayes, 49 nays, 2 present not voting, the motion is 8 tabled. 9 10 Following the amendment, the clerk will read the amendment. 11 12 CLERK: Amendment by Dutton. 13 SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Mr. Dutton to 14 explain his amendment. 15 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Mr. Speaker and 16 Members, as I read through the bill, one of the things 17 that struck me was the reference to the personal 18 identification certificate that's required under this 19 bill. 20 And what this amendment simply does is just 21 adds the two words voter's certificate to it so that it would now read a personal identification voter's 22 23 certificate. And that's really all it does. The Chair recognizes 24 SPEAKER: 25 Representative Harless to speak against the amendment.

yield?

March 23, 2011

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Members, there's certain information on your voter's certificate, your voter registration card. You will still continue under this legislation to get a voter's registration card that tells you your home precinct, your senatorial district, your congressional district.

And this amendment replaces the voter registration card with a personal identification voter card. It's unnecessary and it would be expensive to the counties -- to the state. Sorry.

SPEAKER: Mr. Dutton, for what purpose?

REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Will the gentlelady

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I will.

SPEAKER: She yields.

REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Ms. Harless, will you take a look at that amendment again because I don't think you really intended to misrepresent what the amendment does. Your bill -- do you know that your bill contains a reference to a personal identification certificate in here?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Yes, that's the voter registration card.

REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: But it's called a personal identification certificate; isn't that right?

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               46
 1
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Right.
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: And that's what it's
 2
              And all this does is just makes it clear that
 3
     that personal identification certificate is really a
 4
 5
     personal identification voter certificate, which is what
     I thought you intended it to be.
 6
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Your -- your
     personal identification voter card that is issued in the
 8
     bill is a voter registration card issued by the
 9
10
     registrar.
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: But it's not --
11
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: What you're --
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: -- called anything,
14
     is it?
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Excuse me. What
16
     you're doing in Section 20 is addressing the
17
     Transportation Code, which, in essence, would mean
18
     everybody would get a voter ID card issued through the
19
     Texas Department of Transportation, and that would make
20
     it very expensive.
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: No.
                                               No.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: We talked earlier
23
     about this dollar --
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: I think if you'll
24
25
     read the amendment more closely, what you'll realize is
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 47 1 that that's not what it does. What it does is simply 2 says if you're getting the personal identification card 3 for voter purposes, then it would be called a personal identification voter certificate. 4 5 That's -- that's really all it does. doesn't change that. It doesn't change the part that 6 7 you're suggesting that it does. REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: So, tell me what 8 the purpose of this is. 9 10 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: So that -- so that there's a distinction being -- ought to be made between 11 12 just a regular personal identification card and one that 13 is designed solely for -- to be used as a voter. 14 all it does. 15 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I don't see that. 16 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Well, it might 17 require you to look at the amendment a little closer and 18 the bill a little closer, too, because I think -- well, 19 not you. You've got to do it like this but it doesn't 20 change anything. 21

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Well, do you want to talk about this some more because we haven't discussed this?

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: I think we have all night.

JA 002380

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 48 1 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Okav. 2 SPEAKER: Representative Dutton sends up an 3 amendment. Representative Harless moves to table. Representative Dutton to close. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and Members. I thought this was an easy 6 7 amendment but I quess not. Essentially, what this bill requires is if you want to go to the DPS to get a 8 certificate for you to vote, then it's called under the 9 10 bill a personal identification certificate. What my amendment simply does is if you do 11 12 that, it's called a personal identification voter's 13 certificate. That's really all this does. And I don't -- I don't know. Maybe they don't -- it's not the 14 15 amendment they don't like. Maybe it's the author but 16 anyway, I move passage. I move -- all right. Okay. 17 All these aw's, if you will change them to green light, 18 to no votes, I will accept them. 19 SPEAKER: Mr. Dutton sends up an amendment. 20 Representative Harless moves to table. All the aw's 21 All the nays vote no. Clerk ring the bell. vote ave. 22 Have all voted? 96 ayes, 49 nays, 2 voters

not voting, the motion tabled prevails.

Representative Allen. Following the amendment, the clerk will read the amendment.

23

24

25

March 23, 2011

CLERK: Amendment by Allen.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Ms. Allen to explain her amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: Mr. Chairman and Members, this is a very simple bill -- amendment. It simply provides for those people who -- as already in the bill who already have expired IDs and in the bill, if the bill is expired for 60 days, you can vote. If the bill is expired for 61 days, you cannot vote.

An example would be a young man on my staff who is there now ordered his driver's license to be replaced -- to replace his expired driver's license September, 2010.

In January, he still had not received his driver's license. He had to wait in line all day to request it again. After haggling about whether or not it had been sent, he finally agreed to process a renewal form.

After that -- those of you from Dallas know that a fire occurred in the Dallas/Fort Worth mail facility. DPS sent him a letter asking -- informing him that his license had been destroyed in the fire and that it would take another two or three weeks.

On or about March the 9th, 2011, he finally received his license. About that time, he received his

March 23, 2011

notice that his driver's license had been destroyed in the fire.

It took him almost six months to finally get his license renewed. He didn't have a U.S. military ID card. He didn't have a U.S. citizen certificate. He didn't have a port -- passport, nor did he have a concealed handgun license.

I can point out this situation to you. If he had been able to use his old driver's license or one of his IDs or state issued ID, as you have in the bill, he would have been able to vote.

Now, I want to save the State of Texas money. You say you're giving a free ID to those people who want to vote and walk in and request one for the purpose of voting.

SPEAKER: (Inaudible) for what purpose?

REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: I'm sorry, I'm laying out my --

REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: I don't think she's done yet, Mr. Speaker. I'll wait until she's done.

REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: -- for the purpose of voting. How much will it cost the State of Texas to furnish a free ID to all of the persons who will walk up and ask for a free ID for voting?

March 23, 2011

That would possibly include like I have lost my driver's license right now, probably be me standing in line. Or the person whose license plates -- driver's license who have expired, any form of ID that you have in this bill.

I'm simply asking that you extend the time to any driver's license -- or any ID issued by the state expired for any length of time. You are who you are. You do not change. This will save the state millions of dollars in free ID cards.

It will give the opportunity for grandma -I know she's in there somewhere, we are in there
somewhere, over 60, over 70, who doesn't drive any more
but she had a driver's license, to use her old driver's
license, for those who have had a wreck and become
handicapped, had a driver's license, can't drive any
more, could use their old ID.

I simply ask that you extend the 60 days until any length of time. It would save the state millions and millions of dollars.

SPEAKER: Mr. Gutierrez, for what purpose?

REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: Yes, Mr. Speaker.

Will the gentlelady yield for guestions?

SPEAKER: Do you yield?

REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: I will. Thank

JA 002384

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                52
 1
     you.
 2
                 SPEAKER: She yields.
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: Representative
     Allen, you're aware that the State of Georgia has a
 4
 5
     voter identification, voter impersonation statute,
     whatever you want to call it, they have a similar type
 6
 7
     legislation in Georgia, you're aware of that, correct?
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: I've heard that
 8
     today.
 9
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ:
                                             Did you know that
     in Georgia, Representative Allen, they require the
11
12
     same thing, a Georgia driver's license, even if expired?
13
     So, the good people of Georgia have determined that your
14
     amendment is a good idea and it has worked for them.
15
     So, I think --
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: I -- yes, I think
17
     it's a good amendment.
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ:
                                             So, you --
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
                                             It would be good.
20
     We wouldn't have to drag grandma to the DPS station.
21
     She could use her old card.
                 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: So, if it's good
22
23
     enough for the people in Georgia, it should be good
     enough for the people in Texas, should it not?
24
25
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: I think so.
```

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               53
 1
                 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: Well, Dr. Allen,
 2
     I think you have a very good amendment.
     obviously, worked for the State of Georgia. I think
 3
     that what we're talking about here is reasonableness.
 4
 5
     And as you stated earlier, our identities don't change.
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
 6
                                             That's right.
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ:
                                             And we shouldn't
     burden people by simply -- they no longer need to go get
 8
     that driver's license any more. Their old ID should
 9
10
     work.
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
                                             Right.
11
                                                     It takes
12
     a burden off the people and a burden off the state, too.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ:
                                             Thank you, Dr.
14
     Allen.
             I appreciate it.
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
                                             You're welcome.
16
                 SPEAKER: Mr. Coleman, for what purpose?
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Yes. Will the
18
     gentlelady yield for questions?
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
20
     Representative Coleman.
21
                 SPEAKER: She yields.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Representative
23
     Allen, first of all, if we tie something to a government
     ID that is not something that is permanent for all
24
```

individuals and that ID expires because it is used for a

25

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               54
 1
     specific purpose, it wasn't -- not designed for
 2
     voting --
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: -- do you think
 4
 5
     that an individual should be turned away or would they
     be turned away from voting if they had an expired
 6
 7
     license that went over a period of time, 61 days?
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
                                             No.
                                                  I think that
 8
 9
     you are -- as I said, you are who you are, and after 61
10
     days, you don't change. You're the same person.
     think that could -- that document can be used for
11
12
     voting, too.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Okay. Because the
14
     difference that we're talking about is the idea that it
15
     has a picture, correct?
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Now, this is an
18
     interesting question. I went to get a driver's
19
     license -- renewal of a driver's license. You know you
20
     do it on line.
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
                                             Uh-huh.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Right? And in the
23
     period of time that you're waiting for your driver's
     license, you have a piece of paper with no picture on
24
25
     it.
```

March 23, 2011

REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: Right. Right.

REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: So, that would not be a valid ID for going to vote under this bill; is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: That is correct.

REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Okay. So, what happens if an individual comes in and tries to get vote with an expired ID card or driver's license or whatever the case may be?

REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: As this bill is written, that person would not have the opportunity to vote. It would be -- it would be among the 20 -- he could vote and it would be filed in the provisional ballots.

And you and I know that maybe 20 percent of those votes are counted in the election. That, too, is a fraud.

REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: And particularly because we've heard earlier in earlier discussion that provisional ballots in themselves -- it's actually in the bill it says that, well, even though you've done everything that you're supposed to do, you still -- your vote still may not be counted under the provisional ballots.

REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: Absolutely.

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               56
 1
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: I mean, that --
 2
     that is just bad law --
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: -- and bad
 4
 5
     circumstance. I appreciate the amendment that you
     brought because, you know, we heard something about
 6
 7
     finger imaging in Mexico --
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: -- and we heard
 9
10
     something about people taking a driver's license to rent
     a movie.
11
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
                                             Yes.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Does the Red Box
     ask you for your driver's license?
14
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
                                             No, it doesn't.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Yeah, I was about
17
     to say.
              Thank you very much.
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
                                             Yeah, and I
19
     was -- like I said, I lost my driver's license. So, I
20
     was over there trying to get a driver's license off of
21
     the Internet, and it asked me for the number that's on
22
     my driver's license. I don't have the driver's license.
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Exactly. There's
     this fantasy world out there that some people live in
24
25
     that you and I don't live in.
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 57 1 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: 2 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Because as we go on line, the use of a picture ID for purchases or for any 3 other thing, that is just not what's happening here. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: That's right. REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: And in the real 6 7 world somebody talks about a passport, how many people do you know in your district that have a passport? 8 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: 9 10 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: 11 Very few. 12 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Very, very few. 13 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: I'm having to use mine now to get on the airplane. 14 15 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: That's right. 16 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: Very, very few 17 people have a passport. 18 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: The issue is I 19 guess the folks out there who travel internationally 20 quite a bit --21 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: -- they, obviously, 22 23 have one but people who are taking the bus to work, they ain't even thinking about needing a passport to go on 24 25 their vacation, do they?

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                58
 1
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: They don't have
 2
     passport nor bus fare.
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE COLEMAN: Thank you very
     much.
 4
 5
                 SPEAKER: Representative Sheffield raised
     the point of order that the gentlelady's time has
 6
 7
     expired. The point of order is well taken and
     sustained.
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN:
 9
                                             Thank you.
10
                 SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
     Representative Harless to speak on the amendment.
11
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Members, this
13
     amendment allows for an expired ID with no limit but I'm
14
     going to leave this to the will of the House, so --
15
                 SPEAKER: Mr. Hochberg, for what purpose?
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE HOCHBERG: Will the
17
     gentlelady yield, please?
18
                 SPEAKER: Do you yield?
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
19
                                           Yes, sir.
20
                 SPEAKER: She yields.
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE HOCHBERG: Representative
     Harless, we're seeing a lot of each other the last few
22
23
     days, aren't we? We're --
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I -- I -- it's
24
25
     always a pleasure to see you.
```

March 23, 2011

REPRESENTATIVE HOCHBERG: Likewise.

What -- what concerns me in particular about this provision in the bill is not only that we're saying that if it's over 60 days, it's no good when I don't change that quickly. I wish I changed that much in 60 days that I couldn't be recognized but that there's no provision for any kind of unforeseen circumstance, for instance, did you consider what happens if -- let's say there was a fire at the driver's license place and a bunch of licenses burned up. Could you envision that happening?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I never thought about that but we did allow for a provisional ballot.

REPRESENTATIVE HOCHBERG: But you still have to then come back in with your license within six days.

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Or one of the --

REPRESENTATIVE HOCHBERG: Are you aware that there actually was a fire at the driver's license processing facility earlier this year?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Which one?

REPRESENTATIVE HOCHBERG: It doesn't -- from the DPS website, it says a DPS vendor mailing facility. It happened in February and they lost 14,000 driver's licenses, which had to then be replaced again. And

they're still telling folks you should get it by about

March 23, 2011

April 1st. So, even after the normal processing time, they now added several months.

And under those circumstances, if there had been an election, under your bill, I think folks would have been out of luck. You don't -- that couldn't possibly be something you intended.

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: The information I have is from DPS testimony in both the House and the Senate Committee, and they said that they usually can provide a driver's license after it's stolen or lost, within 15 to 20 days, at the longest 45 days, but as I've said, I'm not speaking against this amendment. I'm leaving it to the will of the House.

REPRESENTATIVE HOCHBERG: Thank you. Well, I think Ms. Allen has a -- Dr. Allen has a good amendment because it -- it takes care of these unforeseen circumstances at minimum and things getting lost in the mail that the voter and the driver has no control over. So, I appreciate you not moving to table this amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Thank you.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
Representative Allen to close.

REPRESENTATIVE DR. ALLEN: Members, this is an opportunity for us to make the bill better than it

March 23, 2011

is. It's an opportunity for us to save money for the State.

Those of you who know me know that I don't file frivolous bills or frivolous amendments. I'm sincere about this.

This gives us an opportunity to let people vote on the ID that they have. We really don't change that much. And so, this time, we don't need to vote down party lines. We can vote for something that is good for the State of Texas, for its people and for the State and then they can save money and give its people an opportunity to participate in the voting process. And I request your vote on this bill. Thank you.

This --

SPEAKER: Representative Allen sends up an amendment. The vote is on the amendment. Vote aye, vote nay. The clerk will ring the bell.

Show Representative Allen voting aye.

19 Showing Representative Harless as voting --

20 Representative (Inaudible) voting aye. Aye. No.

Representative Crownover is no. Harper-Brown is going to vote.

Have all voted? Have all voted? By a vote of 56 ayes, 89 nays, 2 present not voting the motion failed to adopt.

	House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011
	62
1	Following the amendment, the clerk will read
2	the amendment.
3	Representative Alonzo.
4	CLERK: Amendment by Alonzo.
5	SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
6	Representative Alonzo to explain his amendment.
7	REPRESENTATIVE ALONZO: Yes, Mr. Speaker,
8	Members, this just clarifies or adds that an ID card
9	that's approved by the State may be used as an ID.
10	Right now we're identifying certain types of ID. If the
11	State feels that there's a certain ID that's approved
12	after, you know, we vote at the state level or different
13	ways, then that identification card be used.
14	SPEAKER: Okay. The amendment is acceptable
15	to the author. Is there any objection? The Chair hears
16	none. It's adopted.
17	Following the amendment, the clerk will read
18	the amendment.
19	Mr. Veasey.
20	CLERK: Amendment by Veasey.
21	SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Mr. Veasey to
22	explain his amendment.
23	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: This amendment
24	actually in the spirit of bipartisanship was inspired by
25	Representative Taylor of Collin County. Representative

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                63
 1
     Taylor was in an exchange -- was in an exchange, and I
 2
     can't remember who was on the front mic but he said that
     you have to have a valid photo ID in order to fill out
 3
     an I-9. And so, therefore, if you have to have a valid
 4
 5
     ID to fill out an I-9 --
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ: Mr. Speaker?
 6
 7
                 SPEAKER: Representative Martinez, for what
     purpose?
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ: The gentleman will
 9
10
     yield for a question?
                 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: I yield.
11
12
                 SPEAKER: He yields.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ: So, then,
     Mr. Veasey, let me ask you, you have a valid ID and you
14
15
     fill out an I-9, then you get an employee's ID from your
16
     employer, then you should be able to use that to vote,
17
     am I correct?
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Absolutely.
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ: So, if you're
20
     talking to your employer and all of us -- me being an
21
     employer, we have our employees fill out an I-9,
     therefore, they should be valid because if they have a
22
23
     driver's license, which is required under that
     requirement for an I-9, or a Social Security number,
24
25
     then we, as an employer, can ask that employee to take a
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011

photo ID and then they can use that to vote, and that's all you're asking for; is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Absolutely, that the employers of Texas that help keep people employed and keep jobs and help keep food on our tables, if they provide an ID, obviously, they have verified that that identification is valid and they -- and that's the only way that some of these places, like Lockheed and Coca-Cola and other places will issue an ID, and so, that ID ought to count to vote.

And I think that this is a bipartisan amendment because Mr. Taylor had spoke about it earlier. So, I move passage.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes

Representative Harless to speak against the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Members, this amendment is asking that you allow a valid employee identification card from somebody's work. It's not a government ID. It's not a state issued driver's license. It's a valid employee ID card. And I -- I wish --

SPEAKER: Mr. Phillips, for what purpose?

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Yeah, just a quick question.

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Yes.

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               65
 1
                 SPEAKER: She yields.
 2
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: There's no
 3
     limitation at all on this at all?
 4
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
 5
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: I mean, this
     doesn't set out standards or practice or anything?
 6
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: None at all.
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS:
                                           Thank you.
 8
                 SPEAKER: Mr. Veasey, for what purpose?
 9
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Does the gentlelady
     yield?
11
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
12
13
                 SPEAKER: She yields.
                 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Your Republican
14
15
     colleague from Collin County stated earlier that in
16
     order for you to be able to work at any place that you
17
     have to have a valid photo ID in order to fill out that
18
     I-9 and that employer has to verify that identification.
19
     So, why would that identification not work at a polling
20
     place?
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
                                           The purpose of the
     types of ID that were chosen, a driver's license, a
22
23
     Texas issued ID card, a Texas issued driver's license, a
     passport is because there's a standardization of forms,
24
25
     they're uniform, they're easier for people to recognize,
```

March 23, 2011

they're easier for the poll worker to recognize, they're easier for the voter to recognize.

This throws all those standards out. It allows any type of ID that could be duplicated and it takes away all the security provisions in the bill, and I can't support it.

employers in the City of Fort Worth is Lockheed Martin and -- you know, and -- and we have other large employers as well, American Airlines. So, people are used to seeing those identifications that live in the metroplex. There's a good likelihood that the clerk, particularly if you live in Fort Worth, it would be a very good likelihood that the clerk would have a relative or someone that worked at one of these places. So, they would also be able to easily identify those forms of identification, and it's the same justification that you just laid out. So, why not accept this amendment?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Because it's not issued by the state -- the government agency, it's issued by an employer. There is no standardization of form, and it takes away all the protections that we've put in this bill for a person to have an ID that a poll worker -- it's easy for them to recognize and it's easy

March 23, 2011

for the voter to understand.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Are there different -- like you're an employer, you're in the car business, do you not -- do you not offer the same I-9 to your employees that every other employer offers to their employees?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I do, and all my employees fill out an I-9. Typically, they use their driver's license and Social Security card. I've had some use ID cards if they didn't have a driver's license but --

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: So, you're not using a separate form. That would be a standardization of forms. It's the same --

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I think this whole -- I think this conversation, we're talking about the I-9. I understand Representative Taylor's ability to get up here and want to talk about that form. That has nothing to do with the bill. The bill is about showing a photo ID when you show up to vote that is issued by a government agency and is easy to recognize because it has the same standardization.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Okay. So, when he laid out -- when he laid out his justification that everybody has to fill out this standardized form, the

SPEAKER: Do you yield for a question?
REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Yes.

SPEAKER: She yields.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE LEGLER: I'm a little familiar with I-9s from having a company, and I know they can bring a driver's license, in fact, under -- you have lists B and C, and one of the them is a driver's license or ID card issued by a state in the United States. It doesn't say State of Texas. It just says by a state.

It also says a document issued by the Department of Homeland Security, which means you can

March 23, 2011

have a visa to authorize working here and you can get a -- you can fill out an I-9 form and you can be authorized to work. That's how I see that.

What you're saying is that person may not be a citizen and a resident in the State of Texas but they're saying they can use that ID to government vote. I think you're right about -- on this amendment, it needs to be tabled.

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Motion to table.

SPEAKER: Representative Veasey sends up an amendment. Representative Harless moves to table. The question is on the motion to table. All those in favor say aye, vote aye. Those opposed vote no. Clerk will ring the bell.

Ms. Harless voting aye. Mr. Veasey noting no. Representative Hunter voting aye.

Have all voted? By a vote of 101 ayes, 47 nays, 2 present not voting, the motion to table prevails.

Following the amendment, the clerk will read the amendment.

Respective Gonzalez of El Paso.

CLERK: Amendment by Gonzalez of El Paso.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes

Representative Gonzalez to explain her amendment.

March 23, 2011

REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Members -Mr. Speaker, Members, this amendment would allow native
Americans to use their tribal IDs as an acceptable form
of identification upon voting.

The (Inaudible) Pueblo, for example, is a group of individuals that would benefit from this amendment. Currently the population of the tribal -- or the native nation is enrolled at 1687 members, with 1105 of them residing in Texas. And of those 1687, 1044 of the native American population reside in El Paso, Texas alone.

Each member receives an enrollment card, also known as a picture ID, that is issued with an authorized signature of the tribal governor. To obtain this ID, the identification that is used is an original birth certificate, Social Security card and a state issued ID.

Let me repeat that because it does bear repeating. To get this tribal ID, you need an original birth certificate, Social Security card and a state issued ID.

Based on that, I believe that there's a significant layer and there are significant safeguards in place to ensure that a tribal ID should be sufficient when a tribal member goes to a polling place to vote.

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                71
 1
                 SPEAKER: Representative Marguez, for what
 2
     purpose?
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARQUEZ: Mr. Chairman, will
     the lady yield?
 4
 5
                 SPEAKER: Do you yield?
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: I don't know.
 6
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARQUEZ: Representative
     Gonzalez, I think everyone heard you in El Paso.
 8
                 SPEAKER: She yields for a conversation
 9
10
     about El Paso.
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Yes.
11
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARQUEZ: So, essentially,
13
     what this amendment is going to do is it's just going to
14
     allow native American tribes to be recognized, right,
15
     their ID will be a valid form of identification at the
16
     polls?
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: That is correct.
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE MARQUEZ: Okay. And are the
19
     enhanced tribal identification cards that are currently
20
     being issued by the government a reliable form of IDs
21
     for events such as voting? You spoke specifically about
     the (Inaudible) Pueblo.
22
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Yes, absolutely,
     because the United States government is planning to
24
25
     use -- start using an enhanced tribal identification
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 72 1 This is going to recognize U.S. federally recognized tribes. In order to get this specific card, 2 the tribe must meet the requirements set out by the 3 federal government, and this itself shows that they are 4 5 going to have to expose themselves to a background 6 check. 7 So, these tribal cards are needed for the enhanced tribal identification cards. So, this would 8 almost be equivalent to having to get a passport. 9 10 So, again, I think that these identification cards that are issued by the tribes are -- have 11 12 significant barriers in place in order for them -- to 13 qualify them for voter ID. 14 REPRESENTATIVE MARQUEZ: I think this 15 amendment is extremely appropriate. Thank you, Representative Gonzales. 16 17 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Thank you, 18 Representative Marquez. 19 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: Mr. Speaker, will 20 the gentlelady yield? 21 SPEAKER: You yield? 22 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Yes, sir. 23 SPEAKER: She yields.

Gonzalez, we heard earlier about the voter

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: Representative

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                73
 1
     identification requirements in the State of Georgia.
 2
     Were you here when we discussed that?
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Yes, sir.
                 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: Did you hear that
 4
 5
     in Georgia, you can use an expire driver's license? You
     heard that exchange between Dr. Allen and I?
 6
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: I did hear that
     exchange, yes.
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: Did you also know
 9
10
     that in Georgia, they -- a valid tribal photo ID is
     allowed?
11
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: You know, I was
13
     not aware of that but I am not surprised by that.
                 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: So, if it must be
14
15
     good for the people in Georgia, it must be okay for the
16
     people in Texas?
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: I would say so,
17
18
     yes.
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: I think you have
20
     a good amendment.
21
                 Thank you, Mr. Speaker.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Thank you very
23
     much.
                 SPEAKER: Now Representative Harless will
24
25
     speak against the amendment.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State of Texas?

March 23, 2011

74

```
REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Members, we had
testimony of this both this session and last session.
The reason we chose -- the reason the ID documents that
were chose were the driver's license -- the Texas
driver's license, the Texas ID card, voter's -- passport
and all the different forms was that they were standard.
It was easier for the poll workers to understand and
read it and recognize it, and it was also easier for the
voters to know what is expected.
            With your tribal cards, you don't know for
sure if they are official and if they have all the same
security provisions that are issued by the State of
Texas, and that was the purpose of that in our testimony
on the committee.
            SPEAKER: Representative Gonzalez, for what
purpose?
           REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Does the
gentlelady yield?
            SPEAKER:
                      Do you yield?
           REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
            SPEAKER: She yields.
           REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Thank you, ma'am.
            Would you say that 100 -- rather, 1687
members -- tribal members is a significant number in the
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 75 1 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Could you say the 2 number again? 3 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: 1687. REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I think that's 4 5 significant. REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Now, being that 6 7 1044 of those members live in El Paso County, that's the county that I represent, those are poll workers that El 8 Paso County members are going to have to -- are going to 9 10 have to deal with, would you not agree with that? REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: 11 Say that one more 12 time, please. 13 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Yes, ma'am. Would 14 you not agree -- and I gave you a statistic that 1044 of 15 those 1687 members live in El Paso County, which is the 16 district that I happen to represent, and so, it is going 17 to be the El Paso County election people that are going 18 to have to identify these cards. And so, would you not 19 say that since the majority of the people that I've just 20 cited to you live in El Paso County, that they have some 21 familiarity with what a travel card looks like? 22 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Now, how many of 23 these people do not have a driver's license or -- a Texas driver's license, a Texas ID card? Do you have 24 25 those statistics?

March 23, 2011

REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: I do not.

However, I want to cite to my statement again and say that in order to receive a tribal ID, you must show an original birth certificate, Social Security card and --not or -- and a state issued ID.

Now, we have sat here for the better half of this day and talked about how state issued IDs are acceptable forms of identification for voter ID purposes. So, would it not make sense then to have a tribal ID be an acceptable form of identification?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I understand when you say that the people that have these tribal cards, the majority of them live in El Paso but what happens if they move to Spring, Texas and register to vote and don't have an ID and they show up at the polls with a tribal card and the poll workers and election judges are not familiar? That was part of the reason why we wanted some standardization of forms.

REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: And let's talk about that for a moment. Do we know how often tribal members migrate off of the counties that they live in? Because they do receive their federal benefits from the reservation in which they reside, do they not?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: You would know a lot more about that than I do. You represent them, and

March 23, 2011

you're doing a great job.

REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Thank you.

I would say that the migratory habits, if you will, of people that are native Americans that are in the tribes -- the tribes that are in Texas don't migrate very often. So, even if they were to show this tribal ID, I think that a polling person would probably be able to call either the federal government or the actual travel council that issued the ID to verify who this person is. Would that not be a mechanism that could be used?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: We just added another layer of requirements that someone has to do the day that -- a poll worker, election worker has to do when they show up -- someone shows up to vote, that we've just added another step that they're having to do, which could stop -- slow down voting.

REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Okay. But based on that question -- or based on that statement then, if a person doesn't look like who they look like on their vote photo ID, on their driver's license, for example, is the poll worker then going to ignore the person that that's presented the form of ID because they don't look like who they look like or are they going to do some sort of due diligence to find out is this person really

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                78
     who they say they are?
 1
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Now we're talking
 2
     about ID and not your amendment. Do we want to talk
 3
     about your amendment?
 4
 5
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Well, we are
     talking about the amendment. This is germane because we
 6
 7
     are talking about what is an acceptable form of ID.
     And, again, I think that we've listed several
 8
     appropriate forms, and I think that a tribal form is
 9
10
     definitely appropriate.
11
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
12
                 SPEAKER: Representative Sheffield raised a
13
     point of order that the gentlelady's time is expired.
14
     The point is well taken. Sustained.
15
                 The Chair recognizes Representative Gonzalez
16
     to close.
17
                 Mr. Veasey, for what purpose?
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: With the -- I would
19
     like to move that we extend the gentlelady's time,
20
     please.
21
                 SPEAKER: Mr. Veasey moves that the
     gentlelady's time be extended. Is there any opposition?
22
23
     The Chair hears none. The Chair hears none. The time
     is extended.
24
25
                 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Representative
```

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                79
 1
     Harless, I just a few questions for you. Do you yield?
 2
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: (Inaudible)
     screaming in my ear. I apologize for that.
 4
 5
                 Why don't you work with Representative --
     why don't you work with Representative Gonzalez to do a
 6
 7
     carve out for her particular county? Because,
     obviously, you're talking about -- there are thousands
 8
     of people that would be affected. So, why not do a
 9
     carve out for her?
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
                                           I'm not interested
11
12
     in doing any carve outs in the bill but I am interested
13
     in listening to what she has to say.
14
                 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: I'm sorry, I'm
15
     not -- I couldn't quite hear you. People are talking.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
                                           I don't know -- I'm
17
     talking right here. No, I'm not interested in doing any
18
     carve outs but I am interested in what she has to say
19
     for one second.
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Absolutely. I'll
21
     pause.
22
                 SPEAKER: Representative Gonzalez withdraws
23
     the amendment temporarily.
                 Following the amendment, the clerk will read
24
25
     the amendment.
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 80 1 Mr. Dutton. 2 Amendment by Dutton. CLERK: 3 SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Mr. Dutton to explain his amendment. 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Mr. Speaker and 6 Members, I know it's been a long day but this is a most 7 important bill, and what this amendment does is simply adds a group of people who are most important to the 8 election process, and what this amendment does is simply 9 10 allows a student identification card that is issued by a public or private high school or institution of higher 11 12 education that also contains the person's photograph to 13 be able to use that as a basis for voting. 14 And I don't know whether that's acceptable 15 or unacceptable but I think it's a good amendment and I 16 hope you do, too, and I move passage of the amendment. 17 SPEAKER: Representative Harless? 18 The Chair recognizes Representative Phillips 19 to speak against the amendment. 20 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Yes, I would move 21 to table Representative Dutton's amendment. 22 SPEAKER: Representative Dutton, do you want 23 to close? 24 Representative Dutton to close. 25 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Mr. Speaker and

March 23, 2011

Members, I wish I knew why Mr. Phillips would move to table the amendment without explaining what his reasons were.

I realize that this amendment is probably like most of the other amendments where there has been an opportunity not for reason to prevail but simply the numbers to prevail, and I -- I just think the students ought to be recognized and certainly ought to have an opportunity to use their --

SPEAKER: Mr. Phillips, for what purpose?
Mr. Dutton, do you yield?

REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: As soon as I finish, Mr. Phillips. You had an opportunity up here. I'll give you that opportunity, though.

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: I didn't say you have to yield at this time.

REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: We ought to recognize that students ought to have the opportunity to use their student identification cards that, again, are issued by state agencies. Essentially -- the institutions of higher education are essentially state agencies when I last checked.

And so, if we're going to allow state agencies to issue identification cards so that people can vote, what better way than to use a student ID that

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                82
 1
     also has the picture of the student on the ID so that it
 2
     can be used.
                 And with that, Mr. Speaker, I will yield to
 3
     Mr. Phillips.
 4
 5
                 SPEAKER: Mr. Phillips, he yields.
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Thank you,
 6
 7
     Mr. Chairman.
                 I just want to -- you would agree with me
 8
     that all the different institutions you're discussing do
 9
10
     not have a standardized form that's easy for an election
     clerk or officer to recognize?
11
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Depends on what you
13
     mean by standardized.
14
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Standardized, that
15
     they're all the same, same shape, size, location of the
16
     picture, location of the information.
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: I have not seen them
18
     all but I would doubt that every one of them is exactly
19
     the same if that's what you mean.
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: And -- and
21
     they're -- also the people that issue those don't go
     through a certain training to ascertain -- to make sure
22
23
     that there's not fraud in trying to obtain those
     licenses?
24
                 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: I would beg to
25
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011

differ with you. I would beg to differ with you, Mr. -I don't know of anybody in a college or university -maybe you do -- that is there and has a student ID
that's not who they say they are.

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: And you -- there's no verification of citizenship on those as well; is that correct?

REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: That -- that would be the case and that wouldn't -- that would be the case also with a driver's licence.

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: And -- and you would -- anyway, those are questions that I have. Thank you.

REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, Members, this is a vote for students. And I'm here to tell you that either today you can show up for students or on election day, they'll show up for you. And I would ask you to vote no on the motion to table.

SPEAKER: Mr. Dutton sends up an amendment. Mr. Phillips moves to table. The vote is on the motion to table. The clerk will ring the bell. Mr. Phillips is voting no. Mr. Dutton is voting aye. I'm sorry. Mr. Phillips is voting aye. Mr. Dutton is voting no.

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 84 1 Show Ms. Kolkhorst voting aye. Mr. Martinez Fischer 2 voting no. Mr. Gutierrez voting no. 3 Have all members voted? By a vote of 99 ayes, 49 nays, 2 present not voting, the amendment --4 5 the motion to table prevails. Following the amendment, the clerk will read 6 7 the amendment. Amendment by Martinez Fischer. 8 CLERK: 9 SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes 10 Representative Martinez -- Fisher to explain his amendment. 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ FISCHER: Thank you, 13 Mr. Speaker and Members. This is a real simple 14 amendment. It just says that we mean what we say, and 15 if we have respect for the integrity for state issued 16 IDs by DPS, then we ought to have the same level of 17 integrity for the IDs that are issued by any state 18 agency. 19 So, this amendment says if any state 20 employee or anybody that has a state issued ID with 21 their photograph on it should be allowed to vote with that and it should be added to the list of approved 22 23 documents, and so, with that, I move adoption. SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Mr. Phillips 24 to speak against the amendment. 25

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 85 1 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members. I would ask that -- I 2 respectfully oppose this amendment. As you know, one of 3 those ones he's showing up apparently is expired. And 4 5 so, that wouldn't be effective. They're not going to issue those any more. 6 7 And it gets back to uniformity. We don't have uniformity across all state agencies. They all 8 look different. We're trying to have uniformity. 9 (Inaudible). 10 SPEAKER: For what purpose? 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Would the gentleman 13 yield? 14 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: I certainly would. 15 SPEAKER: He yields. 16 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Thank you. 17 you. 18 Representative Phillips, what's wrong with 19 this idea? I'm able to get in the capital with it. 20 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Yes, but a voting 21 clerk may not know what that is or may not even be familiar with the capitol. We're talking about --22 23 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: May not be familiar with capitol? And people are voting for people to be in 24

the capitol? Why are they over there helping people

25

March 23, 2011

vote if they don't know what the capitol is?

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: I think you know what I'm saying. I think that's not being fair.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: It is fair. If you don't know what the capitol is, you don't need to be helping anybody vote.

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: They may not know you. They may not know what kind of form of ID you use. We're trying to perform uniformity across the state.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Did you know that I can get on an airplane with this? I have used it to go through TSA. I didn't get (Inaudible) off but I was able to go through TSA with this. So, why can't we use it to vote?

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Because there's not uniformity across all state agencies.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Is the State of Texas uniform?

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: What are you -- the election laws are all uniform. They should be applied uniformly.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Well, it sounds like you're just opposed to any form of ID. Now, you would think if we're the State of Texas and it -- the State of Texas has the different jobs. Everybody has to have a

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 87 1 badge with their picture on it, then it has been vetted 2 in some form or fashion but you're still saying that's not valid. 3 So, then why should they be allowed to 4 5 come -- we be allowed to come into the capitol building if this is no good? 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Ms. Dukes, we're asking for uniformity so our election clerks will be 8 able to know exactly what to count on and that we 9 10 know --REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: 11 Come on. 12 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: -- the 13 verification procedures. 14 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: If it's a state 15 issued ID, that's uniform. It's the same state. 16 not talking about using Mississippi's ID or using 17 Delaware's ID. We're talking about using Texas business 18 IDs. 19 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: I -- I think that 20 point has been made, and it's not uniform across the 21 state. 22 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: But the point has not 23 been made. You're not being uniform because every single one of the agencies, whether it's the state 24 25 government or federal government, require that you have

March 23, 2011

a background check in order to get these badges. So, what's the problem?

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Ms. Dukes, we're asking for --

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: I mean, there are going to be bills that come up.

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: We're asking for uniformity to help election clerks so we can have confidence in our elections. I would move to table.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
Representative Martinez Fischer to close.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ FISCHER: Thank you,
Mr. Speaker and Members. I think it just underscores
what we're really trying to do here. I can't understand
the distinction between one state agency and another,
and I certainly don't think that Larry is trying to
suggest that our state agencies are capable of producing
fraudulent ID cards or inaccurate ID cards, and there's
no requirement that they ever be uniform but all we need
and all this debate has been about is having an ID with
your photo on it that's trusted, and if we cannot trust
an ID issued by the state, then I have concerns about
trusting IDs issued by the Department of Public Safety.
They, too -- they're no different of a state agency than
the Health and Human Services Commission or the Texas

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 89 1 House of Representatives. 2 These cards are signed by the legislative liaison for the Texas Department of Public Safety. They 3 signed in the front by the director of the Texas 4 5 Department of Public Safety. It's a serious felony to not have this. 6 7 Larry says it's expired but that's my That's my driver's license number. 8 district on this card. The employee ID that I have, 9 well, she's still here, she still works for this body, 10 and so, I don't see what he's talking about when he says 11 12 that it's expired. 13 SPEAKER: Representative Truitt, for what 14 purpose? 15 REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Will the gentleman 16 yield, please? 17 SPEAKER: Mr. Martinez Fischer, do you yield 18 do you yield? 19 REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ-FISCHER: Yes, I do 20 yield.

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Mr. Martinez

Fischer, there's been some discussion about using some

of the forms of ID that we're provided as House members.

And are you aware that -- I have attempted on a couple

of occasions when I misplaced my driver's license to use

21

22

23

24

25

March 23, 2011

a -- our -- one of the ID cards that's issued by the State to us showing that I'm a member of the 82nd -- I think at the time it was the 81st first legislature and an official -- and I was not allowed to use that to board a plane.

REPRESENTATIVE MARTINEZ-FISCHER: And that's why I'm trying to help you because we put this in the law, this -- Members, this is the Martinez Truitt amendment. It's a bipartisan amendment. If you want Vicki Truitt to be able to vote, then you've got to vote no on the motion because -- Members, let's do this for Vicki, Vicki Truitt. Number two on the motion to table, go red with me, I move we vote no on the motion to table. Vicki would want you to join us.

SPEAKER: Mr. Martinez Fischer sends up an amendment. Mr. Phillips move to table. The vote is on the motion to table. Those voting aye vote aye. Those voting no vote no.

Mr. Phillips is voting aye. Mr. Martinez Fischer is voting no. Show Ms. Truitt voting aye.

Have all members voted? By a vote of 97 ayes, 50 nays, 2 present not voting, the motion to table prevails.

Following the amendment, the clerk will read the amendment.

March 23, 2011

CLERK: Amendment by Hernandez Luna.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes

Representative Hernandez Luna to explain her amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE HERNANDEZ LUNA: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, Members. This is a similar amendment but also includes the federal agencies. These are congressmen, Department of Navy, Department of Military, allowing them to show their IDs as well. These are people that we rely on for the security of our country to advise our president, the cabinet on the security of our country, whether we can go to war. I think that that ID should be valid for them to vote as well.

SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Mr. Phillips to speak against the amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Thank you,
Mr. Speaker and Members. I would -- again, this has
gone through the committee process, and we're talking
about uniformity so that those election workers, those
polling workers at their local place will have some
consistency and know what we require them to do.

And so, I would urge against -- this is quite a broad thing, an agency or institution of the federal government or agencies or institution or political subdivision of the state. So, if a city has a little ID card or -- or a water district has an ID card,

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               92
     so, it's guite broad what this could cover. It's not
 1
     just about the military. It's quite broad. And I would
 2
     certainly move to table.
 3
                 SPEAKER: Representative Castro, for what
 4
 5
     purpose?
 6
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: Will the gentleman
     yield for questions?
 7
                 SPEAKER: Mr. Phillips, do you yield?
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Yes, sir.
 9
10
                 SPEAKER: He yields.
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: Representative
11
12
     Phillips, you've said that the reason that you want to
13
     stick to just a few IDs is because there's uniformity
14
     among these IDs that are being issued; is that correct?
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Yes.
16
     of the many reasons why the committee has worked towards
17
     passing this legislation and it's been successful
18
     elsewhere.
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: And I would imagine
20
     as a corollary point of yours would be that there are
21
     different kinds of state IDs that are issued; is that
     right? In other words, the Department of Public Safety
22
23
     versus the --
24
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: I'm not sure what
25
     you mean by IDs because -- I don't know if you're
```

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                93
 1
     talking about employee IDs or --
 2
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO:
                                          Right.
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Okay.
 3
     isn't -- this could have covered employee IDs, probably
 4
 5
     written that broadly but I don't think that's what this
     amendment was for but --
 6
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: What's your
     understanding of what the amendment does? Which IDs
 8
     would it allow?
 9
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: This is federal or
10
     local government, not state IDs.
11
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: Okay. Well -- so,
13
     the federal and local governments issue different -- IDs
14
     that look differently; is that right?
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Yes.
16
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: In other words, San
17
     Antonio may issue one that looks different from Dallas?
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: They might have an
19
     ID -- we don't know if they even do.
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: But are you aware
21
     that the Department of Public Safety issues licenses
     that look different?
22
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: They have
24
     different ones but they're -- yes.
25
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: So, how is that
```

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                94
     uniform then?
 1
 2
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Because they're --
     there are very limited ones that they -- that they
 3
     produce.
 4
 5
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: But that's -- but
     your argument --
 6
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: No.
                                                 No.
                                                      No.
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: Your argument has
 8
     been uniformity. How is it that uniform?
 9
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: They are uniform.
     They're uniform in how they look. They maybe have --
11
12
     one may be going horizontal. One may be going vertical.
13
     So, we're not talking about 50 or however many -- 254
14
     counties if they did them, plus all the cities, that
15
     they would have to somehow figure if that's something
16
     that's acceptable or not.
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: But you're
18
     conceding --
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Uniformity -- no.
19
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: You're conceding now
21
     that there's no uniformity, which is an argument that
22
     you made.
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: No, I'm not
     conceding that. And also, get back to we know DPS has a
24
25
     process and a standard for training and determining
```

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               95
 1
     validity of those presenting themselves for an ID. We
     don't know that about all of those that are stated here.
 2
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: You also made the
 3
     point, is it right -- is it correct you made the point
 4
 5
     that poll workers wouldn't necessarily know how to
     identify some of these IDs?
 6
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: We're talking
     about -- again, we're talking about to make sure that
 8
     they are valid and that they understand what's
 9
10
     acceptable, and what you're trying to do is interject
     confusion to the local poll workers --
11
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: No, not at all.
13
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: -- when you have
14
     so many different IDs. So --
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: I quess my question
16
     is --
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: I think I'm being
18
     consistent.
                  I think I'm being consistent and we're
19
     being consistent throughout this legislation process.
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: Well, I disagree but
21
     my question is -- my question is isn't there a training
     session for these folks who work -- who do the poll
22
23
     working?
24
                 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: There are training
25
     sessions. And how much time do you want them to have to
```

figure out what is a valid ID and which is acceptable?

Because we're talking about very limited opportunity for them to have training.

REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: Representative, can I ask you have you ever been to a polling site -- you've visited many polling sites in your political career; is that right?

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: I have been to polling sites.

REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: Okay. Have you ever been to a polling site where the poll workers, the election judge posts the no -- the no -- basically the no man's land, the no crossing zone either further or closer than it should be?

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: I don't know. I'd have to go back and measure. I don't know that --

REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: You've never come across that in all of the polling sites you've come across?

REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: I don't think that's been an issue, no.

REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: Well, I think you would be surprised, at least in San Antonio and other places I've been, poll workers mess that up all the time. So, what makes you think that -- if you're

	House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011
	97
1	worried about them not being able to tell local or
2	federal IDs apart, that they're going to be perfect on
3	doing any of what you guys are passing right now?
4	REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Well
5	REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: I mean, a lot of
6	them don't even put the markers at the correct place.
7	REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Well, I haven't
8	seen that to be an issue, so
9	REPRESENTATIVE CASTRO: Thank you.
10	SPEAKER: Ms. Hernandez Luna sends up an
11	amendment. Mr. Phillips moves to table. The vote is on
12	the motion to table. Members vote ayes, members vote
13	no. Clerk will ring the bell.
14	Mr. Phillips is voting aye. Representative
15	Hernandez Luna voting nay. Representative Marquez
16	voting Marquez voting nay.
17	Have all voted? A vote of 99 ayes, 49 nays,
18	2 present not voting, the motion to table prevails.
19	Following the amendment, the clerk will read
20	the amendment.
21	CLERK: Amendment by Gonzales of Hidalgo.
22	SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
23	Representative Gonzales.
24	REPRESENTATIVE GONZALES: Thank you,
25	Mr. Speaker, Members. Throughout the day, we've been

talking about these provisional ballots that are to be voted and the affidavits that have to be signed. All this -- all this amendment does is it requires that the affidavits be available at every polling place.

If we want to ensure that every person that's eligible to vote is given an opportunity to vote, we need to have the tools made available to them, including these affidavits that they can sign if they don't have their ID at the time and need to vote a provisional ballot. I hope it will be acceptable to the author.

SPEAKER: Ms. Harless, for what purpose?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Will the -- will the member yield?

SPEAKER: Do you yield?

REPRESENTATIVE GONZALES: Yes, I will.

SPEAKER: She yields.

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I wanted to clarify what our conversation was. You talked about having these affidavits at the polling place that they have to sign if they're casting a provisional ballot. You're not in any way trying to cut out the photo ID requirements, are you?

REPRESENTATIVE GONZALES: Not with this particular amendment. This amendment would simply say

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                                99
 1
     your bill already includes the requirement that the
 2
     affidavit be signed if they have to sign a -- or fill
 3
     out a provisional ballot.
                 All this would say is let's make it
 4
 5
     available to them at the polling places so that they
     don't have to be traveling to another location to fill
 6
 7
     out an affidavit.
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Right.
 8
     show up at a polling place and they don't have one of
 9
     the subscribed forms of identification?
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALES: Correct.
11
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: And they fill out a
13
     provisional ballot and have to sign an affidavit?
14
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALES: Correct.
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: And you're saying
16
     when they're at that polling place, if they want to cast
17
     the provisional ballot that requires the affidavit
18
     signed, that that polling place should have that --
19
     those -- those affidavits there on location site?
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE GONZALES: That's correct.
21
     That's correct.
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Could we -- could I
22
23
     ask that the -- our comments be recorded?
24
                 SPEAKER: Members, you've heard the motion.
     Is there any objection? So ordered -- the Chair has
25
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 100 done. So ordered. 1 Ms. Gonzalez sends up the amendment. 2 amendment is acceptable. Is there any objection? 3 4 The Chair hears none. The amendment is 5 adopted. 6 Following the amendment, the clerk will read 7 the amendment. CLERK: Amendment by Miles. 8 The Chair recognizes Mr. Miles to 9 SPEAKER: 10 explain his amendment. 11 REPRESENTATIVE MILES: Thank you, Mr. 12 Speaker, Members. The statistics are showing that 13 minorities and low income individuals are the most unrepresented groups in the electoral process. And in 14 15 Texas, we rank dead last in voter turnout. Members, under the current bill, the 16 17 Secretary of State is requiring us to conduct a 18 statewide (Inaudible) education and voter regarding ID 19 requirements to the tune of \$2.4 million. 20 Members, my amendment simply states the 21 purpose is for the Secretary of State to ensure voter registration includes initiatives given towards 22 23 minorities and low income residents. Members, we have an electoral crisis on our 24 25 hands, and no matter if we're Republican or Democrat, we

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               101
     owe it to our constituents, especially those that are
 1
 2
     most under represented, to provide them with all the
     necessary tools to become educated in the electoral
 3
     practice.
 4
 5
                 SPEAKER: Representative Harless, for what
 6
     purpose?
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Will the member
     yield?
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE MILES: Yes, I will.
 9
10
                 SPEAKER: He yields.
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I agree with your
11
12
     amendment. I just want to make sure we're not
13
     specifically talking about a dollar amount?
                 SPEAKER: Members, could we have order,
14
     please, on the floor? Take your conversations outside
15
16
     the rail.
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: We're not
18
     specifically talking about a dollar amount, we just want
19
     the statewide effort to include education training?
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE MILES:
                                         That is correct.
21
     That's correct, ma'am.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
                                           Thank you.
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE MILES: My amendment is
     acceptable by the -- by the author, and I move
24
25
     acceptance.
```

	House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011
	102
1	SPEAKER: Representative Miles sends up the
2	amendment. It's acceptable to the author. Is there any
3	objection?
4	The Chair hears none. The amendment is
5	adopted.
6	Following the amendment, the clerk will read
7	the amendment.
8	Ms. Harper-Brown.
9	CLERK: Amendment by Harper-Brown.
10	SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
11	Representative Harper-Brown to explain her amendment.
12	REPRESENTATIVE HARPER-BROWN: Thank you,
13	Mr. Speaker, Members.
14	Members, this amendment solves the problem
15	that Representative Anchia brought up earlier where
16	there was actually an out in the bill that would allow a
17	person to go in and vote and never show a photo ID.
18	It actually eliminates those provisions
19	where the affidavit is needed for indigent or religious
20	purposes. And I move passage.
21	SPEAKER: Representative Harper-Brown sends
22	up an amendment. Is it acceptable to the author?
23	The amendment is acceptable to the author.
24	Is there any objection?
25	Mr. Anchia, for what purpose?

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               103
 1
                 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: I'd like to ask the
     lady some questions, please.
 2
 3
                 SPEAKER: The lady has yielded the floor.
                 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Should the author of
 4
 5
     the amendment -- may I ask the author of the amendment
 6
     some questions?
 7
                 SPEAKER: The lady yields for one question.
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARPER-BROWN:
                                               Thank you,
 8
 9
     Mr. Speaker.
10
                 I yield for a question.
                 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay. What is
11
12
     the -- can you -- I couldn't hear what was going on at
13
     the front mic, so, could you discuss what your amendment
14
     does?
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARPER-BROWN:
     Representative Anchia, this is the section of the Code
16
17
     or the section of the bill that you discussed earlier
18
     that talks about how someone could actually go in and
19
     vote without showing an ID at all if they sign an
20
     affidavit saying that they have a problem due to the
21
     religious objection or the indigence.
                 And so, it takes those two provisions out
22
23
     and just says you can vote provisionally and then you
     have the six days to bring the photo ID in to prove that
24
25
     you -- that you have -- that you can vote.
```

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23, 2011
                                                              104
 1
                 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay. So, it just
 2
     removes indigence exception and religious objection?
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARPER-BROWN: That's it.
                 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Those are the
 4
 5
     exceptions right now. And what you have to do after six
     days is come in and cure only with a photo ID?
 6
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARPER-BROWN: Within the six
     days.
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Within the six day
 9
10
     cure period?
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARPER-BROWN: Right.
11
                                                        Right.
12
     Thank you.
13
                 SPEAKER: Representative Harper-Brown sends
14
     up an amendment. It is acceptable to the author.
15
     there any objection?
16
                 The Chair hears none.
                                        The amendment --
17
                 There is an objection. Members --
18
                 Ms. Harper-Brown sends up an amendment.
19
     There is an objection. The question is on the -- on the
20
     amendment itself. Members vote aye, members vote no.
21
     Ms. Harper-Brown is voting aye. Clerk will ring the
22
     bell. Mr. Villarreal is voting no.
23
                 Have all members voted?
                                           Show Representative
     Rodriguez voting no -- aye -- voting aye. Have all
24
25
     members voted? By vote of 107 ayes, 40 nays, 2 present
```

	House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011
	105
1	not voting, the amendment is passed.
2	Following the amendment, the clerk will read
3	the amendment.
4	Mr. Dutton.
5	CLERK: Amendment by Dutton.
6	SPEAKER: Mr. Dutton?
7	Following the amendment, the clerk will read
8	the amendment.
9	CLERK: Amendment by Gonzalez of El Paso.
10	SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
11	Representative Gonzalez to explain her amendment.
12	REPRESENTATIVE GONZALEZ: Members, this is
13	the amendment that we heard earlier and no news
14	nothing further to report on that. So, I move passage
15	of this amendment, and I believe it's acceptable to
16	the to the author.
17	SPEAKER: Representative Gonzalez sends up
18	an amendment. It's acceptable to the author. It is
19	acceptable to the author? Is there any objection?
20	The Chair hears none. The amendment is
21	adopted.
22	Following the amendment, the clerk will read
23	the amendment.
24	CLERK: Amendment by Dutton.
25	SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes Mr. Dutton to

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 106 1 explain his amendment. 2 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: In keeping with their motion to table, I move passage. 3 Mr. Speaker, Members, this amendment 4 5 simply -- in the bill, it says for 60 and under, this card is going to cost you \$15. This just changes it to 6 7 10 in light of our budget situation and everybody else's budget situation. 8 The Chair recognizes 9 SPEAKER: 10 Representative Harless to speak against the amendment. 11 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Thank you, 12 Mr. Speaker, Members. This is not the proper venue for 13 this debate on this issue. This should be debated in a 14 transportation related bill. 15 This cost is not related to the free ID for 16 voting purposes, and I ask that you table the amendment. 17 SPEAKER: Representative Dutton sends up an 18 amendment. 19 The Chair recognizes Representative Dutton 20 to close. 21 REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Mr. Speaker, Members, in light of the fact that the -- these are 22 23 financially difficult times, I thought it ought to be also reflected in a cost of obtaining this card that 24 25 it's not \$15. All this does is changes it to \$10. I

	House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011
	107
1	don't think that's too much to ask. And so, in light of
2	all of the budget considerations that we in this House
3	have had to make and that people back home are having to
4	make, I thought it was just appropriate to change this
5	to \$10 rather than 15.
6	And so, with that, I would ask you to
7	move vote no on the motion to table.
8	SPEAKER: Mr. Dutton sends up an amendment.
9	Representative Harless moves to table. The question is
10	on the motion to table. Members vote aye, members vote
11	no.
12	Show Representative Harless voting aye.
13	Mr. Dutton voting no. Clerk will ring the bell.
14	Have all members voted? By a vote of 99
15	ayes, 47 nays, 2 present not voting, the motion to table
16	prevails.
17	Following the amendment, the clerk will read
18	the amendment.
19	CLERK: Amendment by Dukes.
20	SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
21	Representative Dukes to explain her amendment.
22	Representative Dukes?
23	Following the amendment, the clerk will read
24	the amendment.
25	Hold on.

House Floor Debate - Volume II

yield?

March 23, 2011

The Chair recognizes Representative Dukes to explain her amendment.

REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Mr. Speaker, Members, this -- the author of this bill has repeatedly stated that the purpose is not to require anyone to have to go and buy a new ID. The DPS will issue an ID if you go in and request it for the purposes of voting.

So, what this amendment does is it ensures that DPS would not be able to charge for the ID by changing the permissive language of may not to shall not charge for the ID.

Repeatedly, the author has stated that this bill was not about requiring the purchase of a new ID, and language in the bill has stated that one would not be charged for an ID if they went in and stated it for these purposes.

Therefore, if permissive language is in the law, a year from now, some months from now, DPS can decide, well, it doesn't completely preclude us from charging, so, we can, therefore, start to charge.

SPEAKER: Ms. Truitt, for what purpose?

REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Does the gentlelady

SPEAKER: Ms. Dukes, do you yield?
REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Yes, I will.

JA 002441

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 109 1 She yields. SPEAKER: 2 REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Ms. Dukes, what if they weren't going to use it for voting? How would --3 what if they weren't going to use it for voting 4 5 purposes? 6 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: What if they only 7 want to use it for voting purposes? REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: No. If they -- if 8 they are not going to use it for voting purposes, they 9 10 just -- it's just an ID but they may not be using it for voting purposes, how would --11 12 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: That's a different 13 subject. The bill already has language in it that the agency cannot charge them if they state it is for the 14 15 purpose -- so, the area in the bill that I'm changing is 16 specific to the ID to be used for voting, that they 17 shall not be able to charge for that. 18 REPRESENTATIVE TRUITT: Oh, okay. You're 19 converting a may to a shall? 20 REPRESENTATIVE DUKES: Correct. 21 wonderful amendment and it's acceptable to the author. 22 Thank you. 23 Representative Dukes sends up an SPEAKER: 24 amendment that is acceptable to the author. Is there 25 any objection?

	House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011
	110
1	The Chair hears none. The amendment is
2	adopted.
3	Following the amendment, the clerk will read
4	the amendment.
5	CLERK: Amendment by Representative Dutton.
6	SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
7	Representative Dutton to explain his amendment.
8	REPRESENTATIVE DUTTON: Mr. Speaker,
9	Members, there's, at least in my mind, some confusion
10	about the previous amendment. I think what it does,
11	though, it prohibits them from charging a fee for a
12	personal identification certificate for a person who
13	says they want to use that to vote; is that right? Is
14	that right?
15	It's my understanding that that's already in
16	the bill, so, I'm going to withdraw my amendment.
17	SPEAKER: Amendment withdrawn.
18	Following the amendment, the clerk will read
19	the amendment.
20	Mr. Raymond.
21	CLERK: Amendment by Raymond.
22	SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
23	Representative Raymond to explain his amendment.
24	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Thank you,
25	Mr. Speaker, Members.

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               111
 1
                 Members, this amendment simply states that
     the Section 31.012, the voter identification education
 2
     portion of the bill, which is on Page 3, would let
 3
 4
     the -- Section 203 and 14F4 of the Voting Rights Act
 5
     would apply to this section. Move adoption.
 6
                 SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes
 7
     Representative Harless to speak against the amendment.
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Mr. Speaker,
 8
     Members, Voting Rights Act either does or does not apply
 9
     to various sections of this bill.
10
                                         This is for the
     Supreme Court to determine and not the Texas
11
12
     legislature.
13
                 I move to table.
14
                 SPEAKER: For what purpose?
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Does the gentlelady
16
     yield?
17
                 SPEAKER: Do you yield?
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
                                           Yes.
19
                 SPEAKER: She yields.
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND:
                                           Ms. Harless, do you
21
     believe the Voting Rights Act?
                                           I'm sorry?
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Do you believe the
     Voting Rights Act? Do you believe the Voting Rights Act
24
25
     is a good law?
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 112 1 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I do. REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: So, you believe 2 there's still discrimination in the voting place? 3 I'm sorry. REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: 4 5 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: So, you believe there is still discrimination in the voting place? 6 7 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: No, I do not think there is. 8 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: 9 Then why do you 10 think that we -- that the Voting Rights Act is a good law? 11 12 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I think at the time 13 when it was passed, it was very effective. Texas is a Section 5 state. It's going to have to go for 14 15 preclearance before the Department of Justice or the 16 three federal judges in the District of Columbia. They 17 will decide if we have met the standards of the Voting 18 Rights Act. This is not the venue for us to decide. 19 We -- we've got the lines that they have drawn in the 20 cases that we've already seen. We know that. We know 21 what the standards they've set. We've complied with 22 that in our bill. This is not the venue to --23 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Do you believe -are you telling me you believe that we don't need the 24 25 Voting Rights Act any more?

	House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011
	113
1	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: You're putting
2	words in
3	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: I'm asking. Do you
4	believe
5	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: You're putting
6	words into my mouth.
7	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Do you believe we
8	still need the Voting Rights Act?
9	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I don't think this
10	is the place to debate that.
11	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Yes, ma'am, it is
12	entirely a place to debate that. This is a would you
13	agree that this is a major piece of legislation dealing
14	with voting in the State of Texas?
15	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I do.
16	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: And you do not
17	believe that the Voting Rights Act should be discussed
18	in this context?
19	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: We have discussed
20	it.
21	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Okay. Then let's
22	continue to discuss it for a minute. Do you believe the
23	Voting Rights Act is still necessary?
24	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I believe we're
25	still under the regulations of the Voting Rights Act.

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 114 1 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: I'm asking you 2 because you're the author of this very important bill and I think this is very important for people to 3 understand your intent. Do you believe the Voting 4 5 Rights Act is still necessary? 6 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: As I've said 7 before, this is a federal -- this is a federal issue to be decided by the federal courts. This isn't for us in 8 the Texas Legislature to discuss right now. 9 10 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Ma'am, you are dealing with legislation here -- you are -- you are 11 12 proposing a bill that would affect every single voter in 13 the State of Texas, including minorities. You would agree with that, right? 14

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Say that one more time. It's hard for me to hear you.

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: You are representing a bill that would affect the voting rights of every voter in the State of Texas, including minorities. Would you agree with that?

21 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I do not agree with 22 that. I think --

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Really? Your bill does not apply to minorities?

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I think we increase

JA 002447

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23, 2011
                                                               115
 1
     access to the polls by putting some checks and balances
 2
     that voters actually show ID that they are who they are
 3
     when they show up to vote.
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Does your bill -- I
 4
 5
     have a very simple question. Does your bill apply to
     minorities in the State of Texas?
 6
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
                                           My bill -- my bill
     applies to everyone equally across the state.
 8
                                           Including
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND:
 9
     minorities; is that right?
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
11
                                           Everyone.
12
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND:
                                           Including
13
     minorities?
14
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
                                           All Texans.
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND:
                                           Including
16
     minorities?
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
                                           All Texans.
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND:
                                           Including
19
     minorities?
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
                                           All Texans.
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: You won't even
     acknowledge whether or not your bill affects --
22
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: It -- I said it
     applies to all Texans.
24
25
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND:
                                           Including
```

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                              116
     minorities, right?
 1
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: All Texans, every
 2
 3
     voter in the state.
 4
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Minorities are
 5
     Texans, right?
 6
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Do you want to go
 7
     back and forth all day? I've answered the question.
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: No. I want you to
 8
     answer the question, ma'am.
 9
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I did.
10
                                                   It applies
     to all --
11
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: With all due
12
13
     respect, I feel like you're being flippant about
14
     something that is very important to millions of Texans.
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I absolutely don't
16
     mean to be flippant. If I'm coming across that way, I
17
     apologize.
18
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: It's a very simple
19
     question.
20
                 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: And I gave you a
21
     very simple answer. It applies to all Texans.
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: I will ask you -- I
22
23
     will ask you one more time. Does your bill apply to
     minorities?
24
25
                 SPEAKER: Time has expired. Point is well
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II

March 23, 2011

taken. Sustained.

The Chair recognizes Representative Raymond to close on his amendment.

Mr. Raymond moves that the exchange between himself and Ms. Harless be reduced to writing and entered in the journal. Is there any objection?

The Chair hears none. So ordered.

The Chair recognizes Mr. Raymond to close.

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Mr. Speaker,
Members, it is troubling to say the least that the
author of this bill was not willing to acknowledge that
this legislation will affect minority voters in the
State of Texas. It is troubling to say the least.

It is doubly troubling that she is not willing to accept this simple amendment, which I know many of my Republican friends who would, I believe, accept if they gave it any thought, that says this will comply with the Voting Rights Act, that the section I specifically referred to here, the voter identification education section, would comply with Section 2, which is the language section of the Voting Rights Act.

It is disappointing that Ms. Harless would not accept the amendment. It is even more disappointing that she was not even willing to acknowledge that her legislation will affect minorities all over the State of

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                              2011
                                                               118
 1
     Texas.
 2
                 I move -- I ask that you vote no.
 3
                 SPEAKER: Mr. Veasey, for what purpose?
                 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Will the gentleman
 4
 5
     yield for a question?
 6
                 SPEAKER: Will you yield for a question?
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND:
                 SPEAKER: He yields.
 8
                 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Representative
 9
10
     Raymond, why don't you think Representative Harless
     would answer your question directly? It was a very
11
12
     important question dealing with the Voting Rights Act.
13
     We -- we know that we are a Section 5 state.
     that she knows that we're a Section 5 state since she's
14
     carrying this bill, and could you maybe elaborate on why
15
16
     she did not want to answer your question directly?
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: You know, it's --
18
     it's hard to read someone else's mind but I will tell
19
     you that in 2006 -- I'm not sure every member in here
20
     knows this but in 2006, a man named George W. Bush
21
     signed into law the extension of the Voting Rights Act
22
     for 25 years, and he said although we've come a long
23
     way, there's a still a ways to go.
                 And for the author of this bill not to
24
25
     acknowledge what a president from this state was willing
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II

March 23, 2011

to acknowledge a mere five years ago and, that is, that the Voting Rights Act is still necessary and that her bill would, in fact, affect millions of Texans who are minorities and who are protected by Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act is troubling.

I don't know what else to tell you but I think that -- I think it certainly should give people pause to think about what's going on here.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: If you're the author of a bill like this that's going to drastically change election law in your state, don't you think you should have a good understanding of the Voting Rights Act and the history of the act and why it was passed before you embark on a piece of legislation that could have the type of consequences I believe that this piece of legislation will have?

REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Well, I would expect that in the year 2011 but, you know, you just heard the exchange. It -- it was surprising and troubling to me that the author of this bill was not willing to acknowledge the importance of the Voting Rights Act and the fact that her bill would affect millions of Texans who are protected by it.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: You have a good amendment and because of all the good amendments that

	House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011
	120
1	have been turned down tonight, we can see exactly where
2	this bill is headed.
3	Thank you, Representative Raymond.
4	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Thank you.
5	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Mr. Speaker?
6	SPEAKER: Mr. Berman, for what purpose?
7	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Does the gentleman
8	yield?
9	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Yes, of course.
10	SPEAKER: He yields.
11	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Richard, you're a
12	good guy. And, Richard, are you a minority?
13	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: I am.
14	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Is Mr. Veasey a
15	minority?
16	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Yes, sir.
17	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Are you both Texans?
18	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Yes, sir, we are.
19	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Ms. Harless answered
20	the question, and she said all Texans.
21	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: You know, Leo, and
22	I am glad you called me Richard because I'd actually
23	like for us to talk
24	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: I like you.
25	REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: If you were

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23, 2011
                                                               121
     listening to a bill and you had been around 75 years, as
 1
     you just mentioned, she specifically would not say --
 2
     when I asked a simple question are minorities -- you
 3
     know, will this affect minorities, will your bill
 4
 5
     include minorities, in other words, I'm a minority, is
     this going to apply to me, yes, I'm going to need a
 6
 7
     voter ID to go vote, right?
                 REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Yeah, but --
 8
     (Inaudible).
 9
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: No.
10
     listened to it, Leo. You listened to it. You listened
11
12
     to it. And I say, "Well, just tell me does this affect
13
     minorities?"
                 "It affects all Texans."
14
15
                 "Does this affect minorities?"
16
                 She wouldn't say it.
17
                 REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: I think you hit her
18
     too hard really. I know you're a minority. I know --
19
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Leo, she's not a
20
     shrinking violet.
21
                 REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: I know that.
22
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: She's a tough lady.
23
                 REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: I know that but she
     said all Texans, and all Texans include every minority
24
25
     in Texas.
```

```
House Floor Debate - Volume II
                                                    March 23,
                                                             2011
                                                              122
 1
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Leo, do you --
 2
     Leo --
 3
                 REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Of course, they do.
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Let me ask you,
 4
 5
     Leo, do you believe that this law will apply to
     minorities in the State of Texas?
 6
 7
                 REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Yes, I do.
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: You see, you were
 8
     willing to answer it.
 9
10
                 REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: But she didn't have
11
     to.
          She --
12
                          Representative Sheffield raised a
                 SPEAKER:
13
     point of order.
                      The gentleman's time is expired.
14
     point of order is well taken.
                                     Sustained.
15
                 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: I'd like to make a
16
     motion to reduce the exchange between Ms. Harless and
17
     myself and Mr. Veasey and myself into writing and enter
18
     it into the journal.
19
                 SPEAKER:
                           Members, you've heard the motion.
20
     Is there any objection?
21
                 The Chair hears none.
                                         So ordered.
22
                 Representative Raymond sends up the
23
     amendment. Representative Harless moves to table.
                                                           The
     vote is on the motion to table. Members vote aye,
24
25
     members vote no. Show Representative Harless voting
```

House Floor Debate - Volume II March 23, 2011 123 1 Representative Raymond voting no. Clerk will ring 2 the bell. Representative Gonzales voting aye. 3 Representative Carter voting aye. Representative Chen and Dutton voting aye. Representative Branton voting 4 5 aye. Have all members voted? By a vote of 99 6 7 ayes, 48 nays, 2 present not voting, the motion to table prevails. 8 Following the amendment --9 10 Amendment by Raymond. The Chair recognizes 11 SPEAKER: 12 Representative Raymond. 13 REPRESENTATIVE RAYMOND: Okay. Members, 14 since Ms. Harless would not accept an amendment to this 15 bill, saying that this bill would comply with the Voting 16 Rights Act, Section 5, then I now am offering an 17 amendment that says it will apply to Section 2, which is 18 the language section of the Voting Rights Act since we 19 are a -- a language minority state. Move adoption. 20 SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes 21 Representative Phillips in opposition. 22 REPRESENTATIVE PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. 23 I would move to -- I would speak in opposition to this amendment. Again, we're getting far -- far from 24

what the Texas legislature needs to be doing, and I

25